```
1
 1
 2
    UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 3
    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 4
    Case No. 12-12020-mg
 5
 6
    In the Matter of:
 7
 8
    RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,
 9
10
             Debtors.
11
12
13
                 United States Bankruptcy Court
14
                 One Bowling Green
15
                 New York, New York
16
                 March 23, 2017
17
18
                 10:09 AM
19
20
21
22
23
   BEFORE:
24
   HON. MARTIN GLENN
25
   U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE
                    eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
             operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
```

2 Doc #10310 Hearing RE: ResCap Liquidating Trust's Ninety-Sixth Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability Claims). Hearing on 3 the Omnibus Objection, solely as it relates to the Bank of 4 America Claim, will go forward on 3/23/17. Hearing re: all 5 other claims is adjourned to 5/11/2017 at 10:00 a.m. 6 7 8 (CC: Doc #10311) Motion of the ResCap Liquidating Trust for Final Decree Closing Chapter 11 Case of Executive Trustee 9 10 Services, LLC filed by Joseph A. Shifer on behalf of ResCap 11 Liquidating Trust. 12 13 (CC: Doc #10296) ResCap Borrower Claims Trusts Ninety-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Claims ((I) No Liability Borrower Claims, 14 (II) Reduce and Allow Borrower Claims, and (III) Allow in Full 15 16 Borrower Claim). 17 18 19 20 Transcribed by: Dena Page 21 eScribers, LLC 22 352 Seventh Avenue, Suite #604 23 New York, NY 10001 24 (973)406-2250 25 operations@escribers.net

1 2 APPEARANCES: MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3 4 Attorneys for ResCap Borrower Claims Trust 5 250 West 55th Street New York, NY 10019 6 7 8 BY: JESSICA J. ARETT, ESQ. 9 NORMAN S. ROSENBAUM, ESQ. 10 11 12 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL LLP 13 Attorneys for ResCap Liquidating Trust 14 1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 15 16 17 BY: NATHANIEL ALLARD, ESQ. 18 19 20 ALSO PRESENT: JILL HORNER, ResCap Liquidating Trust (Telephonic) 21 22 JAMES P. KENNEDY, Pro Se (Telephonic) 23 SARA LATHROP, Residential Capital Estates (Telephonic) 24 25

PROCEEDINGS

THE CLERK: All rise.

THE COURT: All right, please be seated.

We are in Residential Capital, 12-12020.

All right.

MR. ALLARD: Good morning, Your Honor; Nathaniel Allard of Kramer, Levin, Naftalis & Frankel for the ResCap Liquidating Trust. The first matter on the agenda is the ResCap Liquidating Trust ninety-sixth omnibus objection to claims. It was filed on February 21st, 2017 at ECF number 10310.

In support of the objection, the Trust submitted the declaration of Jill Horner who is the Liquidating Trust chief financial officer, and I believe Ms. Horner is on the phone if you have any questions.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead Mr. Allard.

MR. ALLARD: So some background: Pursuant to the objection, the Liquidating Trust objected to twenty-five claims; twenty-four of those were virtually identical claims filed by Royal Bank of Scotland and its affiliates. We have been in discussions with RBS and so we have adjourned the objection as it pertains to those twenty-four claims. So the only claim going forward today is claim number 5271 filed by Bank of America.

THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the claim?

```
MR. ALLARD: I do.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: It's not attached to the moving papers; at
 3
    least, I didn't see it.
 4
             MR. ALLARD: I do.
             May I approach?
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Yes, please.
 7
             Thank you. Give me a chance to look at it, okay?
         (Pause)
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Go ahead.
10
             MR. ALLARD: Okay, so if I could provide just some
    background: We have been in contact with counsel to Bank of
11
12
    America and understand the claim related to an alleged improper
13
    lien release. And when we were in contact with them, we
    offered to work with them to resolve the claim, at which point
14
15
    they informed us that they no longer hold any interest in the
    property or the claim. For whatever reason, they were not able
16
17
    to withdraw the claim so we were constrained to include them on
18
    the objection. And they did not respond to the objection.
19
             THE COURT: Did ResCap, GMAC Mortgage, LLC release the
    lien on the property that's the subject of the proof of claim?
20
21
             MR. ALLARD: My understanding of the facts is there
22
    was they argued there was an improper lien release, whereas,
    there was a -- I think it was a clerical mistake where they
23
24
    gave the address to an adjacent property. So that was the
```

nature of the misunderstanding --

THE COURT: Part of the problem I have in reading 1 2 the -- I have no clue, other than in the objection where you say that the basis for Bank of America's claim was improper 3 4 release of the lien, I have no knowledge, no facts set out 5 about did ResCap mistakenly release the lien on the property, what the status of that is. 6 7 MR. ALLARD: Unfortunately --THE COURT: Let me just finish. 8 9 MR. ALLARD: Sorry. 10 THE COURT: I don't know whether the view that the -- yes, you have an affidavit declaration in support of the 11 12 objection. It's not particularly informative; you say you've 13 had discussions with Bank of America. I had not been able to 14 review the claim previously, so let me come back and let me 15 understand. Tell me what happened. What did GMAC do with 16 respect to the lien on this property? 17 GMAC was servicing the loan on the property at one time, correct? 18 19 MR. ALLARD: That is my understanding. THE COURT: And did it release the lien on the 20 21 property? 22 MR. ALLARD: So unfortunately, our understanding is 23 limited to the proof of claims not very informative, either, so 24 when we talked to them we tried to get this information.

let me -- I want to make that clear; my understanding is they

believe that instead of releasing the lien on one property, they released -- the argument is they released the lien on an adjacent property that had a slightly different address.

I don't have many facts beyond that; that's why we tried to contact them, and they informed us that they weren't really interested in pursuing the claim anymore. And we did -- the affidavit of service at ECF 10319 indicates that we served the objection on them, as well, after our many calls and emails back and forth.

THE COURT: Whoever is typing on the phone, please put your phone on mute.

Anything else you can tell me about it?

I hope you understand what my -- what's giving me problems about it. Is there anything else you can tell me about the claim, or what happened here?

MR. ALLARD: No, I mean, I think that the burden on the claimant to put forth information really has not been met.

THE COURT: Well, but a proof of claim properly prepared is prima facie evidence of validity and amount. The objector has to come forward with competent evidence that would refute at least one element of the claim. What evidence have you come forward with that refutes an element of the claims set forth in the proof of claim?

MR. ALLARD: Well, I would argue that the claimant has not been damaged because we offered, to the extent that they

think there was some improper lien release, to work with them to resolve that.

THE COURT: That's not evidence; come on. That doesn't rebut an element -- well, let me ask you first: do you believe that the proof of claim, which I'm seeing for the first time, sets forth a claim which is entitled to be treated as prima facie evidence of validity and amount?

MR. ALLARD: As far --

THE COURT: Did somebody -- did you have somebody -- did the Trust have somebody go back and look at the property records for the property in question?

GMAC serviced the loan; it was a mortgage on specific property. The allegation is that GMAC improperly released the lien, inadvertently or otherwise. There's nothing in the moving papers that addresses the issue whether GMAC, in fact, released the lien on the property, when it released the lien on the property, who it released the lien on the property, who the mortgagee is; none of that is set forth in the papers, is it?

MR. ALLARD: No.

THE COURT: Okay. So tell me what it is that you've put forward, competent evidence that rebuts at least one element of the claim?

MR. ALLARD: That the amount of the claim was filed in the amount 160,000, but there's no evidence of any damage to that effect.

THE COURT: If you released -- are you telling me that 1 2 when the proof of claim asserts that the amount of the secured 3 claim is 160,000 dollars that the -- how much was the note? 4 How much was borrowed? Well, the proof of claim attaches a deed of trust; it 5 6 attaches a note. How much was the note for? 7 As to the blue payment rider, I don't see the -- is 8 there an amount that was borrowed? Ms. Horner, can you help me here? 9 10 Ms. Horner, are you on the phone? COURTCALL OPERATOR: Your Honor, there is no 11 12 appearance from Ms. Horner on CourtCall. THE COURT: Okay. 13 14 MR. ALLARD: I don't see an amount listed here. 15 THE COURT: Yeah, I don't, either. Do you have any reason to believe that 160,000 dollars that's listed as the 16 17 amount of the claim wasn't the amount of the --18 MR. ALLARD: I do not. 19 THE COURT: Let me explain what I do when I get an 20 objection when there's no response that's been filed. And I 21 think somebody from your office called and asked whether we 22 would simply, since no objection was filed and and/or an order to sustain an objection. And what I do in every one, in every 23 24 proof of claim, whether it's a ResCap or another, where there's

an objection to a proof of claim, we examine the papers to see

whether the proof of claim is properly prepared and would appear to establish a prima facie basis, prima facie as to validity and amount.

I didn't have the proof of claim. I'm looking at it now; you handed it to me. It appears proper on its face: it's got the deed of trust attached, GMAC gets service of the loan, and the allegation is that GMAC improperly released it. You have not addressed in any of your papers whether GMAC did release it, properly or improperly.

Other than saying -- and I appreciate the difficulty sometimes -- other than saying you tried to work with Bank of America to see whether you could get this resolved and they won't withdraw the claim, my concern is, is that you haven't rebutted at least one essential, necessary element of the claim. You basically have ignored it. You -- I don't mean to be pejorative about it, but other than saying, look, we tried to work with Bank of America, they won't withdraw the claim; they told us -- hearsay -- that they're not servicing the loan anymore. I don't know who the mortgagee was, I assume they were servicing it for securitization trust typical.

Can -- what else would you like to say about it?

MR. ALLARD: How would you like to proceed?

THE COURT: With whom has your office been in touch with about the claim? I see the letter is from a --

MR. ALLARD: Yeah, so the proof --

1	THE COURT: lawyer, Morris & Morris.
2	MR. ALLARD: Right, so the proof of claim was filed by
3	Morris & Morris, the attorney on the proof of claim no longer
4	is I believe, works there. So we've been contacting the
5	attorney who took over the matter which was named Matthew Green
6	of Morris & Morris.
7	(Pause)
8	THE COURT: Do you have any idea who owns the loan
9	now?
10	MR. ALLARD: I do not.
11	THE COURT: Do you know what the status of the loan
L2	is? In the fall current is do you know anything about
13	the status of the loan?
L4	MR. ALLARD: I don't.
15	(Pause)
16	THE COURT: To show cause, indicating that this
L7	objection to Bank of America, claim number 5271 came on for a
18	hearing on March 23rd, 2017. No response to the objection was
19	filed on behalf of Bank of America, and no one appeared for
20	Bank of America at the hearing.
21	Unless Bank of America files a response to the motion
22	contesting the grounds for the objection, on or before 5 p.m.
2.3	March 30, 2017, the objection will be sustained in the claim

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

Trust counsel shall serve a copy of the OSC on counsel

24

25

5271, expunged.

for Bank of America and file certificate of service on the docket. We'll see whether Bank of America does something in response or not. If they do respond and contest the objection, I'm probably going to set it for another hearing. And if I do, I probably will enter an order requiring they make some showing and explain what happened here. Okay.

MR. ALLARD: That's fair.

THE COURT: I just, I've got a proof of claim that on its face appears to stay the claim, and no response is required to an objection to a claim. If no response is filed, the Court has to examine the claim to determine whether it's properly prepared and is entitled to its prima facie evidence of validity.

Now, that's the circumstance I find myself in. Simply saying that somebody talked to a lawyer for BofA and you couldn't get them to withdraw the claim, and without explaining any of the facts about what really happened is just not enough, so that's what I'm going to do.

MR. ALLARD: Okay, thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Allard.

MR. ALLARD: And the next matter on the agenda is the motion of the ResCap Liquidating Trust for a final decree, closing the Chapter 11 case of Executive Trustee Services, LLC. That was filed on February 21st, 2017, and can be found on ECF number 10311.

If I may provide a little background: as I'm sure you are well aware, the Chapter 11 cases from the petition date consisted of the jointly administered cases of fifty-one debtors. Under the confirmed plan, the fifty-one debtors were consolidated into three debtor groups to receive distributions on allowed claims: the ResCap debtors, the GMAC mortgage debtors, and the RFC debtors.

In addition, although technically part of the GMAC debtor group claims against Executive Trustee Services are entitled to different treatment. So previously in these cases, we moved and got approval to close forty-seven of the fifty-one cases, which left open the lead debtor case and the case of

THE COURT: Yes, so the Moss claim remains.

ETS; the purpose is mostly administrative and reducing the --

ETS. And today we seek to move just the additional case of

MR. ALLARD: Right.

THE COURT: Tell me how any recovery by Mr. Moss will be handled if I close the ETS case?

MR. ALLARD: So distributions are conducted by the two trusts: the Liquidating Trust and the Borrower Claims Trust.

THE COURT: Your claim would be under the Borrower Claims, then?

MR. ALLARD: Yes, his claim is currently, as you know, being litigated with the Borrower Claims Trust, so any recovery that he is entitled to would come from funds that have already

```
1
    been --
 2
             THE COURT: That's fifty million dollars that we're --
             MR. ALLARD: Yes, it was --
 3
 4
             THE COURT: -- the Borrower Claims Trust.
             MR. ALLARD: Well, under the plan it was 57.6 million;
 5
 6
    it was reduced slightly for certain pre-confirmation date
 7
    distributions, so I think it was -- ended up being about 56.1
    million. But any recovery that he's entitled to would come
 8
 9
    from funds already with the borrower trust, and not from the
10
    estate of ETS.
             THE COURT: And so your position is, Mr.
11
12
    Moss -- there's no prejudice to Mr. Moss if the ETS case is
13
    closed; is that a fair statement?
14
             MR. ALLARD: That's fair.
15
             THE COURT: So what happens to ETS's documents in the
    case is closed?
16
17
             I'm just -- the case is coming up for trial. I don't
    remember now whether or what the status -- I think discovery is
18
    closed, but maybe it is; maybe it isn't. Let's assume it's
19
    not. What is happening to ETS's documents if the case is
20
21
    closed?
22
             MR. ALLARD: Well, so the -- at a high level, I
23
    believe all the estates -- the successors -- the Liquidating
24
    Trust which will still be in existence, and I think there's
25
    cooperation agreement in place between the Borrower Claims
```

Trust and Liquidating Trust, so I don't think anything would change in terms of the documents as long as --

THE COURT: Well, I don't know what that means, so what is the borrower's claim trust in possession of all ETS documents that still exist today?

I just -- look, Mr. Moss, I know you served and I read that you served Mr. Moss. He hasn't filed an objection. I don't want to find myself in trial on the Moss claim and have an issue arise about documents relating to the Moss claim; he serves trial subpoenas. I need to know that the borrower's claim trust will accept any trial subpoena or documents -- may be an issue about the scope or relevance, but if the ETS case is closed that the borrower's claim trust will accept any trial subpoena served by Mr. Moss and produce any responsive documents, make any motion if there's a dispute about it. I don't want -- I'm not going to close the case if doing so in any way impairs or affects stability of Moss, the claims trust, or me to resolve the Moss claim. It's going to go to trial; unless it's settled it's going to trial.

And I read your motion. In theory, I don't have a problem with it. I understand that the distribution to Moss, if any, would come from the borrower's claims trust. But I need to be satisfied that closing the case will not affect or impair the parties' ability to try the case.

MR. ALLARD: My understanding is it would not at all.

I don't want to speak for the Borrower Claims Trust. 1 2 THE COURT: Mr. Rosenbaum. MR. ROSENBAUM: Norm Rosenbaum, Morrison & Foerster 3 4 for the ResCap Borrower's Claim Trust. Your Honor, I wasn't aware that there would be any issue with document preservation 5 6 in terms of closing the ETS case. I share Your Honor's concern 7 and I believe that --THE COURT: I don't want a bad surprise when I get to 8 9 trial. 10 MR. ROSENBAUM: I appreciate it, Your Honor. I think we could all be on the same page in this, so I'll just 11 12 coordinate. There shouldn't be -- document preservation, as 13 Mr. Allard was referring to, there's the cooperation agreement. 14 I can't say that the --15 THE COURT: Well, the case is closed; who is it going 16 to cooperate with? 17 MR. ROSENBAUM: Well, the Trust is obligated to cooperate -- the two trusts are obligated; the Liquidating 18 19 Trust is supposed be the --20 THE COURT: Right. 21 MR. ROSENBAUM: -- depositor (ph.) of the documents, 22 so I think we'll just coordinate and make sure there's not 23 going to be any issues with any access to documents. I can't 24 sit here and say the borrower's trust has every document in its

possession that they need for the Moss matter or any other

```
matter. They do rely on the Liquidating Trust, and
 1
    their -- and some of this is imaged electronics -- electronic
 2
    images on different servers. So I think we just need to
 3
 4
    coordinate and make sure there's just not going to be
 5
    any -- there has to be preservation of all these documents that
 6
    I'm happy to coordinate.
 7
             THE COURT: Well, isn't the -- I don't see anything in
    the order about preservation of documents.
 8
             MR. ROSENBAUM: Well, I think the two trusts should
 9
10
    coordinate and make sure that that's a part of the --
             THE COURT: Well, that's just not good enough for me;
11
12
    I need -- look, Mr. Allard, I'm prepared to close the case, but
13
    you're going to have to modify the order to provide for
14
    preservation of ETS records. I don't want to find out that I
    close the case today and the Moss claim goes to trial and,
15
16
    oops, ETS's records were destroyed when you, Judge, approved
17
    the closing of the case.
18
             And is this the only remaining claim against ETS?
             MR. ALLARD: That's correct.
19
20
             THE COURT: I want to see a revised order on closing
21
    the case. Work out with Mr. Rosenbaum; I want to be satisfied
22
    that the order addresses preservation of records.
23
             MR. ALLARD: We are happy to do that.
24
             THE COURT: You know, I've just been -- well, I have
```

just been through this in another case where there's an issue,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
I had been doing it. We had the district attorney, I had
private parties, I had others; they were happy to have the duty
case closed but the preservation of records was a big deal.
And so I think the order ultimately provided for -- it
had -- there electronic records, there were some paper records.
         I understand you want to get the case closed; I'm
happy to get the case closed, but I just don't want a bad
surprise with the Moss claim. I know you didn't file anything,
but unless the case is -- unless the claim is resolved, it's
going to trial.
        MR. ALLARD: Correct. Okay, we're happy to do that.
         THE COURT: So confer with Mr. Rosenbaum, come up with
a draft order.
         I assume the U.S. Trustee -- has the U.S. Trustee seen
your proposed order?
        MR. ALLARD: Yes.
         THE COURT: Okay. Share a revised draft with the U.S.
Trustee, as well, and advise the Court what the status is.
I'm going to conditionally grant the motion to close the ETS
case, subject to reviewing an order that adequately deals with
the preservation of records, okay.
        MR. ALLARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: The records may already be in the
possession of the borrower's trust; I don't know, I just don't
know.
```

1	Do you know, Mr. Rosenbaum?
2	MR. ROSENBAUM: As I said before, I can't say as a for
3	certainty every record is with the Trust. I know they rely on
4	the liquidated trust.
5	THE COURT: Sure. Okay, I just don't it's not that
6	I don't accept your representation, Mr. Rosenbaum, but I just
7	want to be sure that, is there going to be consequences if they
8	are a required preservation and it turns out they weren't
9	preserved. Everybody ought to understand that.
10	MR. ALLARD: Correct.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MR. ALLARD: Thank you.
13	THE COURT: All right, Mr. Allard; thank you.
14	Ms. Arett?
15	MS. ARETT: Good morning, Your Honor.
16	THE COURT: Good morning.
17	MS. ARETT: Jessica Arett of Morrison Foerster, on
18	behalf of the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust.
19	The next matter on the agenda is number 3, the
20	Borrower Trust's ninety-fifty omnibus objection to claims, no
21	liability borrower claims, reduce and allow borrower claims,
22	and allow and full borrower claim. It was filed at docket
23	number 10296 on February 2nd, 2017.

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

Mr. Kennedy; I don't know if he is on the line.

I don't know if -- I know we received a response from

24

```
THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, are you on the line?
 1
 2
             MR. KENNEDY: Yes, I am --
             THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Kennedy.
 3
 4
             MR. KENNEDY: -- Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: All right, that's the only -- is that the
 6
    only response you received?
 7
             MS. ARETT: Yes, it is.
             THE COURT: Okay. So I have reviewed, we'll
 8
    deal -- we'll talk about the Kennedy claim in a minute.
 9
10
             MS. ARETT: Okay.
             THE COURT: The -- and I guess, what, there were
11
12
    several categories of -- in your -- that you grouped objections
13
    in various categories; why don't you just briefly describe?
14
             MS. ARETT: Correct. So there -- so through the
15
    ninety-fifth omnibus objection, we seek to expunge four proofs
16
    of claim that do not represent valid pre-petition claims
17
    against the debtors; those would be the no liability borrower
18
    claims. The borrower trust thoroughly examined the debtors'
19
    books and records in an effort to validate the accuracy of the
    allegations made in those claims, and determined that the books
20
21
    and records do not show any liability due and owing the
22
    claimants. The borrower trust also determined that two claims,
    which were reflected on Exhibit B to the objection, those
23
    would've been the reduce and allow borrower claims --
24
25
             THE COURT: Well, let me just -- the ones you see in
```

	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
	RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
1	disallow order, the four, 10/5th claim: 1015, 2549, 1039, and
2	5634.
3	MS. ARETT: So 1015 was actually one that we withdrew
4	the objection to.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
6	MS. ARETT: So and then I think you left out Mr.
7	Kennedy's claim, 4930.
8	THE COURT: I know. We're going to talk about
9	MS. ARETT: Yeah, yeah.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy's separately here.
11	MS. ARETT: Correct, so there were
12	THE COURT: So 1015 is out, so it's 2549, 1039, 5634?
13	MS. ARETT: Correct, and then the fourth one was Mr.
14	Kennedy's.
15	THE COURT: All right, we're going to deal with Mr.
16	Kennedy's separately.
17	MS. ARETT: Right. Correct.
18	THE COURT: As to the three, 1015,
19	2549 not excuse me, not 1015. 2549, 1039, and 5634, the
20	objection is sustained
21	MS. ARETT: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: and the claims are expunged.
23	Okay, so go to the next category.
24	MS. ARETT: Okay, so the next category, which
25	reflected on Exhibit B, the reduce and allow borrower claims,

1	were asserted in an amount greater than that for which the
2	debtors' estates were liable.
3	THE COURT: And that's 1524 and 4921?
4	MS. ARETT: Correct.
5	THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
6	MS. ARETT: Okay.
7	THE COURT: And the claims are reduced.
8	MS. ARETT: All right. And then we also determined
9	that one claim should be allowed in the filed amount against
10	THE COURT: 3848?
11	MS. ARETT: Yes.
12	THE COURT: All right, the claim is allowed.
13	MS. ARETT: Great. So then responses to the objection
14	were due on March 6th. As I said earlier, the borrower
15	received one response to the objection from Mr. Kennedy; that
16	was at docket number 10332.
17	THE COURT: All right, and that's claim 4930.
18	MS. ARETT: Correct.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MS. ARETT: And we filed a reply on March 20th, 2017
21	at docket number 10337. I'm happy to walk through
22	THE COURT: Please do.
23	MS. ARETT: All right. So Mr. Kennedy's proof of
24	claim is based on alleged errors made by GMAC mortgage during
25	the servicing of his loan. And Mr. Kennedy asserts that GMAC

Mortgage placed lender insurance on his property and paid his property taxes when it should not have. Mr. Kennedy also asserts that GMAC Mortgage's responses to various QWRs that were sent to him were inadequate under RESPA.

However, review of the borrower trust books and records demonstrate that as to all of these allegations, GMAC acted in compliance with the terms of the deed of trust and applicable law.

THE COURT: The response that you filed concedes that there's a disputed issue of fact over whether the tax bill was paid, and that's in paragraph 29. This is the allegation of a statutory violation of California Civil Code 2954(a)(1)(C). And what do you say in paragraph 29 of the objection?

MS. ARETT: So I think we addressed this earlier in the objection in saying that even if GMAC Mortgage had received proof of the insurance, under -- because it -- or sorry -- proof of the -- that the taxes were paid, because it later received evidence from the San Francisco County showing that the delinquent taxes had not been paid under its own business practices, it would have gone forward and paid those taxes anyway. So whether or not they received proof that --

THE COURT: Don't you -- I thought that the borrower's trust concedes that there's an issue of fact whether the tax bill was paid. I understand your legal position --

MS. ARETT: Correct.

```
THE COURT: -- but you -- is -- am I correct that you
 1
 2
    agree that there's a disputed issue of fact whether Mr. Kennedy
 3
    paid the tax bill?
 4
             MS. ARETT: I think there's a disputed issue of
    fact -- and this was not clear in the reply and I
 5
 6
    apologize -- there's a disputed issue of fact as to whether or
 7
    not the borrower trust received evidence from Mr. Kennedy that
    the tax bill was paid.
 8
 9
             THE COURT: Okay.
10
             MR. KENNEDY: Yeah, that's not correct.
             THE COURT: Well, you'll get --
11
12
             MR. KENNEDY: If I may interject?
13
             THE COURT: -- a chance, Mr. Kennedy.
14
             Mr. Kennedy?
15
             MR. KENNEDY: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: I'll give you a chance to respond on
17
    everything, okay?
18
             MR. KENNEDY: That fine. Okay, thank you.
19
             MS. ARETT: Because later in -- GMAC Mortgage did
20
    follow up with San Francisco County and learned that the taxes
21
    had been paid, and that the records that they received from the
22
    county were incorrect.
             THE COURT: Okay, and what did GMAC do when it found
23
24
    out that Mr. Kennedy had paid the taxes?
25
             MS. ARETT: At that point, the county had applied the
```

```
taxes that GMAC Mortgage had paid to his December 1st, 2008
 1
 2
    taxes.
             THE COURT: And what happened to the amount that he
 3
 4
    paid?
             MS. ARETT: I believe that he did not pay his taxes in
 5
 6
    December 1st, 2008.
 7
             THE COURT: Well, which taxes did you -- did GMAC
 8
    subsequently learn he had paid?
 9
             MS. ARETT: The taxes that he paid in July 2008, I
10
    don't know exactly when those were due, but I know that they
    were paid in July 2008.
11
12
             THE COURT: Why doesn't the fact -- I mean, so -- all
13
    right, so if the county made a mistake --
14
             MS. ARETT: Um-hum.
15
             THE COURT: -- the mistake being it reported to GMAC
16
    that the taxes hadn't been paid when, in fact, they had been
17
    paid, what's -- what are the legal consequences of that for
18
    GMAC?
19
             MS. ARETT: Well, I think that that's an issue that
20
    Mr. Kennedy would have with the county. I don't know that
21
    GMAC -- I mean, I don't think that GMAC can be responsible
22
    for --
23
             THE COURT: So what --
24
             MS. ARETT: -- correcting every single -- like,
25
    make --
```

1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	MS. ARETT: confirming with the county every single
3	time.
4	THE COURT: What evidence do I have before me that
5	shows that GMAC was informed by the county that Mr. Kennedy had
6	not paid the taxes, and on that basis GMAC paid the taxes?
7	MS. ARETT: So when GMAC Mortgage is servicing
8	notes okay, we'll find the exact part of the notes in a
9	second it's on page 156 of 158 of the servicing notes, which
10	are Exhibit D to the supplemental declaration of the reply.
11	THE COURT: Okay.
12	MS. ARETT: And the entry on October 4th reads: "Per
13	e-file September 24th, 2008, taxes are delinquent, as follows:
14	San Francisco County dispersed July 2008 second initial basis."
15	So that's the evidence that we have that GMAC Mortgage
16	received.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Address the breach of contract
18	claim.
19	Could you address the breach of contract claim?
20	MS. ARETT: Yes, so pursuant to the deed of trust,
21	GMAC Mortgage was permitted to pay delinquent property taxes
22	and hazard insurance.
23	THE COURT: I agree.
24	MS. ARETT: And did so pursuant to the deed of trust,
25	so that they're

```
THE COURT: But it's got to be delinquent.
 1
 2
             MS. ARETT: It does have to be delinquent, but I mean,
    it -- GMAC Mortgage received notice from the county in July,
 3
 4
    informed Mr. Kennedy of that delinquency --
             THE COURT: Other than the reference in the servicing
 5
 6
    notes, is there a piece of paper that shows that the county
 7
    gave notice to GMAC that the taxes hadn't been paid?
             MS. ARETT: The trust doesn't have that record
 8
 9
    anymore, Your Honor. That we were able to locate, anyway.
10
             THE COURT: All right. How much -- the Trust now
    acknowledges that Mr. Kennedy had made the tax payment, but the
11
12
    Trust's position is supported by the servicing notes that the
13
    county had mistakenly reported that he had not paid. What was
14
    the amount that GMAC advanced?
15
             MS. ARETT: I believe, I'll need to confirm, is
16
    $2,939.80.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. So address the issue of California
    Civil Code 2954(a)(1)(C), the escrow -- establishing an escrow
18
19
    account.
20
             MS. ARETT: So -- sorry, let me pull, I have a copy of
21
    it, so just for my own record.
22
             THE COURT: Okay, sure.
             MS. ARETT: So a review of the statute, I believe,
23
24
    demonstrates that they're -- that the purpose of the statute
25
    was to prevent a servicing company from placing what is a
```

```
traditional escrow account where you pay into it every month,
 1
 2
    and then at the end of those six months they make the payment
    for you, and that's just part of your monthly payment.
 3
 4
    different, and GMAC Mortgage makes a distinction between that
 5
    sort of escrow account and the type that was placed on Mr.
 6
    Kennedy's account at this point. And they make that
 7
    distinction in the servicing notes.
             THE COURT: Okay, so GMAC, when it received notice
 8
 9
    that Mr. Kennedy had not paid the taxes, which was in error,
10
    the information was in error, it established an escrow at that
11
    point?
             MS. ARETT: It -- yeah, well -- yes, so it created an
12
13
    account that Mr. Kennedy would be paying into that would, once
14
    the payment that GMAC Mortgage had paid was paid off.
15
             THE COURT: Yes.
16
             MS. ARETT: But then the escrow account would go away,
17
    so it isn't --
18
             THE COURT: And how much -- what was the time gap
    between the time that GMAC made the tax payment and learned
19
20
    that, in fact, Mr. Kennedy had done so?
21
             MS. ARETT: So GMAC made the payment on October 4th,
22
    and I believe they learned of the -- that Mr. Kennedy made the
    payment in May 2009; I don't know the exact date.
23
24
             THE COURT: October 4th of which year?
25
```

MS. ARETT: Of 2008.

```
1
             THE COURT: Okay.
 2
             MS. ARETT:
                         So --
             THE COURT: And what happened to the escrow when GMAC
 3
 4
    learned that Mr. Kennedy had made the tax payment?
 5
             MS. ARETT: Well, at that point, the county couldn't
 6
    provide a refund to GMAC Mortgage because it had paid -- it had
 7
    used GMAC Mortgage's funds to pay Mr. Kennedy's December 2008
    payment. So at that -- GMAC Mortgage would only remove the
 8
    escrow if it had received a refund in the amount that it paid
 9
10
    out, which it didn't because the county had then paid -- used
11
    that money to pay Mr. Kennedy's taxes going forward.
12
             THE COURT: Okay.
13
             MS. ARETT:
                        Yeah.
14
             THE COURT: Okay, deal with the rest of the claim.
             MS. ARETT: So there were four QWRs that --
15
16
             THE COURT: You acknowledge that they were proper
17
    QWRs?
18
             MS. ARETT: Yes, Your Honor.
19
             THE COURT:
                        Okay.
20
             MS. ARETT: So there was the December 26th, 2009 QWR,
21
    which GMAC responded to on January 22nd, 2010. There was
22
    another QWR that was sent on January 19th, 2012 that GMAC
    responded to on February 6th, 2012. There was a SQR on May
23
24
    14th, 2012 that GMAC responded to on June 5th of 2012.
25
    then a fourth one on August 4th, 2012 that GMAC responded to on
```

August 10th.

And a review of the responses that GMAC Mortgage provided, it addressed all of the issues that Mr. Kennedy raised, and it -- if you look at the actual requires of RESPA, it's just that GMAC Mortgage had to explain why it believed that Mr. Kennedy's account was correct, which it did. It needed to provide him with a phone number and with various departments within GMAC Mortgage, which it did. It also provided him with copies of his servicing notes, his deed of trust, his note, and various other documents that were requested.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. ARETT: So it is our position that it was -- that the responses were sufficient under RESPA.

THE COURT: All right, address his FDCPA and Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, Civil Code 1788 claim.

MS. ARETT: So Mr. Kennedy raised these for the first time in his response, but even if we were to relay that back to the filing of the petition -- of the bankruptcy case -- they would still be untimely. Under both of those statutes, there's a year statute of limitations. Even being extremely generous and saying that he did not realize the errors that were made until his first QWR was mailed out, that's still December of 2009, which means that the statute of limitations expired in December 2010, so --

THE COURT: Is it the same statute of limitations 1 2 under the Rosenthal Act? MS. ARETT: Yes, both one year. 3 4 THE COURT: All right. Okay. Mr. Kennedy, do you want to go ahead and respond? 5 6 MR. KENNEDY: Okay. First of all, the -- my claim has 7 been completely misstated. The issue with California Civil 8 Code 2954 is not whether -- this is not whether or not GMAC paid my taxes, but the fact that they opened an escrow account 9 10 for the payment of the taxes. California 2954 prohibits that, unless the borrower falls into one of seven exceptions. And 11 the closest exception that I can think of because they -- they 12 13 haven't said what exception that would be -- is that you 14 have -- it's required that you must miss -- have two delinquent 15 tax payments -- two consecutive delinquent tax payments before 16 GMAC could have done what they did as far as establishing an 17 escrow account. 18 Whether or not they paid the taxes, whether or not I had already paid them, that's irrelevant. The law specifically 19 20 states that you have to have two delinquent taxes in a row in 21 order for somebody to be able to open an escrow account for the 22 payment of taxes, and that was not the case. My taxes 23 were -- none of my taxes were left delinquent at that point. 24 THE COURT: Is it fair to say --25 MR. KENNEDY: Then --

THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, may I ask a question? So I understand the issue about the tax bill, which you did pay and GMAC servicing notes reflect they were advised that you hadn't paid. Did you miss the next tax payment?

MR. KENNEDY: I went to make the tax payment, I had -- I had informed GMAC continuously, before the payment and then after the -- after they made the payment, I told them you need to call and get a refund. They would not refund the money to me because I didn't make the payment, and GMAC refused to do that.

Finally, I -- I persisted with that. Finally, in May of the following year is when they called and the tax payment, of course, by then had been applied to my December -- the following payment, December of -- of 2008. And so that's why the payment -- that's why the refund wasn't available. Had they called them and asked for a refund immediately, you know, when I notified them that there's a surplus and you need to get it back, they would've gotten the refund. But they didn't.

And had they done that, we wouldn't be here today because that would've prevented any other damages from occurring, my account would've been correct, and it would've been resolved.

THE COURT: All right, I understand your argument about 2954, it's California Civil Code, Section 2954. Could you address the breach of contract claim?

MR. KENNEDY: Yes, because -- because they went ahead and -- and opened an escrow account, once again, against California Civil Code, the deed of trust requires that they follow local regulations with regard to escrow accounts. And therefore, they did not, and they then breached, by opening the escrow account, they breached the deed of trust. Also, they -- they then charged me for the related escrow charges for that payment that were prohibited by the law. And that is also in breach of -- of the contract. And when I made the payments of principal and interest only, they divert -- they called my payment insufficient and put it in a suspense account, and then diverted funds from that payment to pay for those unwarranted escrow charges. And that's a breach of -- of the contract.

Also, there was another escrow issue regarding property insurance, and that only involves the fact that on an escrow statement that I received from GMAC in -- for August, it stated that if the amount for the insurance is paid in full, it will be automatically -- my payment for November 1st, 2008, will automatically be adjusted back to principal and interest only. I provided proof of insurance; the funds were completely refunded, and the policy was cancelled on October 23rd. Yet, my payment for November 1st was not automatically adjusted as stated on the escrow analysis, and they considered my payment of principal and interest only insufficient and put my funds in a suspense account, and then charged me later diverted funds to

1 pay for that -- to pay for that escrow charge.

It was then removed the following month. So
they -- they didn't do it as they state. And each escrow
analysis that I would ever get had a similar statement that if
you do this, if you pay this amount, then it will be removed by
such a date. And they never followed their own policy, as far
as removing the -- the charges, and therefore, that's a breach
of -- of contract with that.

THE COURT: All right, could you address --

MR. KENNEDY: And --

THE COURT: Could you address the RESPA claim, that's the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act.

MR. KENNEDY: Yes. Oh, and just one other thing, going back to the tax issue.

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MR. KENNEDY: They -- she stated that -- that they received notice from the county on October 4th, stating that my taxes were delinquent. The county does not have records, and they don't keep records as far as which properties have mortgages that are not -- let alone who the servicer is, and they do not send emails to mortgage companies telling them that, you know, this property is delinquent on a property tax. So for them to -- and I continually requested a copy of this claimed email that they received on October 4.

Furthermore, October 4th is a Saturday, when the

government offices will be closed. So that is the reason why opposing counsel cannot find this supposed email because it doesn't exist.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. KENNEDY: So -- and -- and that leads into the QWRs. Each and every QWR that I sent, and there -- there are five of them, not four; there was a fifth one that was sent in October -- I think it was of 2009, that is included in my response to the objection. That was outside of the statute of limitations to be included in my claim for damages, so I didn't include it earlier. But it does support the fact that there were five QWRs in total, but of which GMAC did not respond to sufficiently in accordance with RESPA requirements.

Now, given -- given the situation regarding the taxes, in -- in each and every letter that I would send, I would state that General Motors -- GMAC paid my taxes when taxes had already been paid, and opened an escrow account wrongfully for those charges. And this goes to even before I learned two years later about count -- you know, about the Civil Code 2954.

I -- I had -- and so anybody who is doing the research investigating my QWR claims that -- that I believe my account is wrong because General Motors paid -- GMAC paid my taxes when they had already been paid and opened an escrow account. That should be a red flag to anybody that has to deal with these tax situations because -- because 2954 affects every single

mortgage in California serviced by GMAC.

And so it's not like a narrow -- a narrow scope type of regulation; it -- it's something that they need to know in order to operate properly within the law. And yet, there isn't a single QWR response that ever is -- and even when I learned of the law and then -- and asked them to address that specifically, it's completely ignored. And then it's like the -- so it's never included in the investigations that they do, and that's a requirement for GMAC to have fulfilled in order to, you know, meet RESPA requirements.

In addition to that, I can go QWR by QWR of -- of they say that they provided, for example, transaction histories.

Well, three -- three out of the four QWRs in 2012, they provide transaction histories that don't cover the dates of -- of the errors that I'm talking about. Only one QWR provided proper transaction histories for the complete time that I asked, and I asked for the inception of the loan to present day. And their claim of -- also, I do have to go -- I want to make a note about -- there's a claim that the deed of trust -- I don't (indiscernible) around but the -- they claim the deed of trust allows them to have paid my taxes.

Since payment of the taxes is not an issue with the breach of contract, but the opening of an escrow account is, the deed of trust does not -- it allows GMAC Mortgage to -- I'm not going to say allows them to -- to pay the taxes, but it

They're not -- it doesn't allow them to open an escrow 1 does. 2 account; they -- they forgot to mention that part. It -- it allows them to add the amount that they've spent on my behalf 3 4 to my total outstanding mortgage whereby I'd be charged 5 interest according to the note as if it were -- were a lump sum 6 was my -- was my outstanding balance. It says nothing about 7 allowing them to open an escrow account. So that refutes that. But --8 THE COURT: All right, could you address -- Mr. 9 10 Kennedy, could you address the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act? The 11 12 issue there being the statute of limitations. 13 MR. KENNEDY: Yes, let me get here. One second. Just one moment and I'll find it in my notes here. 14 15 THE COURT: That's fine, go ahead. 16 MR. KENNEDY: Thank you. 17 Let's see. All right, there is -- let me see -- there's a law page that quotes a -- a California law 18 19 provides -- has a continuing violation doctrine, which applies, and what that does is it -- it -- the statute of limitation 20

see -- there's a law page that quotes a -- a California law provides -- has a continuing violation doctrine, which applies, and what that does is it -- it -- the statute of limitation starts from the last violation that was -- that occurred by GMAC Mortgage, not from the first time when it happened. And so because GMAC kept sending me demand letters and kept pursuing debt collection activities when, you know, they're not allowed to, right through to the end when they turned the

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
account over to Ocwen in 2013; that's when the statute of
limitations would -- would start, and that's well within the
time frame of -- of when I filed.
         THE COURT: All right, and --
         MR. KENNEDY: And there's also a -- a federal law that
does the same -- that -- that does the same thing, let me just
find that.
         There's a -- a case, Padilla v. Payco General American
Credits, and it says here, "without citing any legal authority,
Payco contends that the statute of limitations prohibits
Padilla from raising any facts or allegations because of the
one-year statute of limitations". It says, "however, the
statute of limitations is not intended to deprive plaintiffs of
the use of evidence of violations that took place of more than
a year after the statute has" -- "the statute of limitations
has come into effect. But rather than to protect defendants by
ensuring that the action is filed within one year of the most
recent date on which the defendant is alleged to have violated
the FCPDA."
         THE COURT: All right, Ms. Arett, could you respond,
please?
        MR. KENNEDY: And --
         THE COURT: That's fine, Mr. Kennedy.
```

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

THE COURT: Let me hear from the trust counsel.

MR. KENNEDY: Okay.

1	MS. ARETT: So
2	THE COURT: We'll deal with the statute of limitations
3	on FDCPA (sic) and Rosenthal first. Is Mr. Kennedy correct
4	that the statute runs from the last violation, and he alleges
5	the last violation is within the statute of limitations?
6	MS. ARETT: Well, I would say here that the violation
7	that Mr. Kennedy alleges is the taxes, and so I don't know what
8	the continuing violation would be.
9	THE COURT: What are you but you were
10	continuing not you, obviously GMAC was continuing to try
11	to collect from him assorted deficiencies and amounts shortages
12	that they were trying to collect; is that true or not?
13	MS. ARETT: So are you I mean, the I don't know
14	exactly at what point Mr. Kennedy paid off the tax deficiency,
15	so I don't
16	THE COURT: Well, he says he didn't have a tax
17	deficiency.
18	MS. ARETT: Well, right, but I'm saying if that was
19	the continuing violation, I don't know exactly when that
20	THE COURT: Um-hum.
21	MS. ARETT: finalized, no, so
22	THE COURT: All right. Deal with his RESPA demand.
23	MS. ARETT: The RESPA
24	THE COURT: RESPA.
25	MS. ARETT: Regarding the QWRs?

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. ARETT: I mean, I -- if you look at the responses,
I believe that GMAC Mortgage appropriately responded to every
single one of --

THE COURT: All right.

MS. ARETT: -- his QWRs. I mean, it -- if you look through, they provided -- so let's -- starting with the first one, they provided him with the history of the period that he is complaining of going back 2008 and 2009. Prior to 2008, Mr. Kennedy didn't have really any long -- I mean, he made his payments.

Then in the February 2012, they provided him with, again, account notes 2009 -- let me see what the actual -- sorry.

So 2012 through -- actually, I don't know what the final date there was.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. Kennedy, how much in damages are you seeking to recover?

MR. KENNEDY: Well, because of the -- and I didn't know about this when I filed the claim -- but because of the RESPA violations, and actually, the -- the totaling, if you will, or whatever, of the FCPDA and the Rosenthal is also related to the fact that I never received a response to my validation of that request. What I did receive, and -- and only figured it out recently, was a -- was a copy of a response

to a letter that I sent to GMAC's tax department.

GMAC has been able -- unable to present to me a response to -- to that validation request. And that, after thirty days, prevents them from being able to pursue debt activity at all. So that's that matter.

But I'm sorry, what was your question; I apologize.

THE COURT: How much in damages are you seeking to recover?

MR. KENNEDY: Oh, so I have emotional damages then that I did not include because I didn't know that I -- that I could, and so it -- the total is 94 -- I think, 94,000 dollars.

THE COURT: How do you calculate the 94,000?

MR. KENNEDY: Okay, let me get to my spreadsheet here. (Pause)

MR. KENNEDY: Okay. I had to directly sell an IRA in order to pay the amounts that they needed for the workout program to prevent them from selling my house. That was valued at 31,684 dollars. What I've asked for, for that is the -- is the interest of -- let's see here -- 5,473 dollars for that, which is calculated from the time that I had to cash in the IRA until the time GMAC declared bankruptcy. I had to pay taxes on that IRA plus a penalty, totaling 7,695 dollars. And then there was an additional 1,100 dollars in interest.

Late fees are totaled 3,431 dollars, plus 800 dollars in interest. The buyer -- BPOs that totaled 4,500 dollars,

```
plus 1,100 dollars in interest. Fees that I was charged of
 1
 2
    4,100 dollars.
             THE COURT: What were the fees?
 3
             MR. KENNEDY: Plus 1,500 dollars --
 4
             THE COURT: What were the fees for?
 5
             MR. KENNEDY: Well, that's what I wanted to know
 6
 7
    because that also is requested in my QWRs and I never received
    a response. So I never had a breakdown of what the fees are
 8
    for. And I --
 9
10
             THE COURT: May I ask you --
11
             MR. KENNEDY: And I asked --
12
             THE COURT: Mr. Kennedy, what was --
13
             MR. KENNEDY: Yes.
14
             THE COURT: What were the payments for which -- that
15
    you had to make for which you had to cash in your IRA?
             MR. KENNEDY: Well, the loan monthly payment was 1,700
16
17
    dollars. I had to make monthly payments of approximately 5,000
18
    dollars a month for six months.
19
             THE COURT: Why?
20
             MR. KENNEDY: Because they -- because I was not paying
21
    the escrow charges because I did not feel that they
22
    were -- were proper, and continued just to pay my monthly
23
    payment of interest and principal. And they then pushed
24
    my -- that caused my house to go into default and they actually
25
    sent me a notice of sale. And there were attorney's fees and
```

	whatnot, so in order to recoup all of that I had to pay it all
2	off in in six months' time. They also refused my first
3	payment, telling me later that it had to come by cashier's
4	check, and I was never aware of that. And I asked them
5	in in the QWRs for copies of the signed agreement that says
6	that that shows that I was aware of that, and they they
7	never addressed that; they never provided that information.
8	So yeah, so all the sudden I had to come up with 4,000
9	dollars one month to make to save my house. So I I had
10	to sell my IRA.
11	THE COURT: Okay. All right, I I'm going to
12	overrule the trust's objection to claim 4930 without prejudice.
13	The matter needs to be set down for trial.
14	Is there any discovery that you wish to take, Mr.
14 15	Is there any discovery that you wish to take, Mr. Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to
15	Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to
15 16	Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to prove your claim?
15 16 17	Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to prove your claim? MR. KENNEDY: Yes.
15 16 17 18	Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to prove your claim? MR. KENNEDY: Yes. THE COURT: Okay.
15 16 17 18 19	Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to prove your claim? MR. KENNEDY: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. MR. KENNEDY: I believe so.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to prove your claim? MR. KENNEDY: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. MR. KENNEDY: I believe so. THE COURT: All right.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to prove your claim? MR. KENNEDY: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. MR. KENNEDY: I believe so. THE COURT: All right. MR. KENNEDY: Yes, I do.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Kennedy? Do you have the documents you believe you need to prove your claim? MR. KENNEDY: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. MR. KENNEDY: I believe so. THE COURT: All right. MR. KENNEDY: Yes, I do. THE COURT: Ms. Arett, is there any discovery you wish

```
1
             THE COURT: Well, I'm going to enter a case management
 2
    order --
 3
             MS. ARETT:
                        Okay.
 4
             THE COURT: -- and that's why I'm asking whether there
    is -- do you want to take his deposition; what is it that you
 5
 6
    want to take?
 7
             MS. ARETT: I think we would need --
 8
             THE COURT: He's itemized --
 9
             MS. ARETT: -- we need information regarding his --
10
             THE COURT: Stop, stop, stop. He's described in
    some -- with some specificity, the items that he's seeking to
11
12
    recover in damages; do you have those documents?
13
             MS. ARETT: We have -- he provided them in his
14
    response.
15
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MS. ARETT: I think we would need to take discovery
16
17
    regarding the -- like, the IRA and other --
18
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MS. ARETT: -- like, because he alleges that his
19
    credit was affected --
20
21
             THE COURT: All right, here --
22
             MS. ARETT: -- and so we would need discovery of
    credit --
23
24
             THE COURT: -- is what I -- Ms. Arett, I would like
25
    for you or your colleagues to prepare a case management and
```

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

scheduling order, providing sixty days for fact discovery.

This is not a matter that requires expert testimony, so it's sixty days for fact discovery.

Talk to Mr. Kennedy and agree on a date for the next case management and scheduling conference about two weeks before -- you can get a date from Deanna for that, my courtroom deputy. Mr. Kennedy, you'll be able to appear by telephone. A case management and scheduling conference about two weeks before the time for fact discovery runs out.

Mr. Kennedy, what you'll see -- there's the case management scheduling order is a form that I use; it's on the Court's website so you can see what it looks like, but Ms. Arett will follow that form. And it requires that usually face to face, but you're in California, so you and the trust counsel need to confer within fourteen days from the entry of the order about settlement or alternate dispute resolution to try and resolve the claim. And you need to confer, again, you always confer about settlement, but you absolutely need to confer again, within fourteen days after the close of fact discovery.

When we have the next case management conference, if the matter hasn't been resolved, I'll give a deadline for submitting a joint pre-trial order. That again, is a form, Mr. Kennedy, that appears on the Court's website and Ms. Arett can send you what the form looks like. That has to be completed entirely.

You can't -- if the matter goes to trial you have to be here for it, I don't permit people to appear by telephone during trial, so you would have to be here for the trial.

Where do you live, Mr. Kennedy?

MR. KENNEDY: Palm Springs in Southern California.

THE COURT: Okay. So I have no problem about, for any of these pre-trial hearings, for you to report by telephone as you are today. But if the matter goes to trial, you actually have to be here and you have to be prepared to testify.

There are other documents that have to be filed before trial, the exhibits and things like that, but I'm not going to get into that today. So what I urge both sides to do is to confer whether settlement is possible.

But -- so submit the proposed order, Ms. Arett. Make sure you confer, in terms of the sixty days, that that's what I'm telling you for fact discovery, and there's no time for expert discovery. In terms of scheduling the case management conference, I don't know whether the trust has any omnibus days that are set already about two weeks -- approximately two weeks before the end of fact discovery.

During that -- and talk to Mr. Kennedy and make sure he's available for that. I guess it's early in the morning for you, Mr. Kennedy, but is -- I usually start my calendar at 10 o'clock. Are you able to do that?

MR. KENNEDY: Yes, absolutely, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: Okay. And we will see whether you can
 1
 2
    settle this claim, Ms. Arett.
 3
             Some of the -- I'm not ruling on anything today, other
 4
    than that I'm overruling the trust's objection for the reasons
    that I believe, are disputed issues of fact as to all the
 5
 6
    claims. Some of the items of damages that you've described to
 7
    me, I think you may have a really hard time recovering, Mr.
 8
    Kennedy, so I know -- and I'm not saying you won't and I'm not
    saying you will, I'm just -- this isn't the time when you
 9
10
    really have to lay it out in detail, but I just -- as I
11
    listened to you, you may have a hard time recovering the amount
12
    that you're seeking. So keep that in mind when you talk with
13
    the trust counsel about settlement and, if necessary, we'll go
14
    to trial. It's -- that's where we are, okay.
15
             Anything else you want to say, Mr. Kennedy?
16
             MR. KENNEDY: No, that's fine, thank you very much for
17
    your --
18
             THE COURT: Okay.
19
             MR. KENNEDY: -- time, Your Honor.
20
             THE COURT: Ms. Arett, anything you want --
21
             MS. ARETT: No, Your Honor.
22
             THE COURT: Okay. Is there anything else for me to
    deal with today?
23
24
             MS. ARETT: No, that's it.
25
             THE COURT: Okay, so I am so ordering -- I don't need
```

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

```
a written order on this -- I'm so ordering the transcript that
 1
 2
    the objection is overruled without prejudice and the matter
    will go forward in the manner that I've described, and so
 3
 4
    confer with Mr. Kennedy and see whether you can work with the
 5
    schedule, okay?
 6
             MS. ARETT: Sounds good.
 7
             THE COURT: All right, thanks very much. All right,
 8
    we're adjourned.
 9
         (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:25 AM)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	. g .c c. cc			49
1				
2	INDEX			
3	RULINGS:	PAGE	LINE	
4	Omnibus Objection to Claims (No Liability	11	21	
5	Claims) sustained as it relates to the Bank			
6	of America Claim barring a response to the			
7	motion by 3/30/17 at 5 p.m.			
8	Motion to close ETS case conditionally	18	17	
9	granted			
10	Objection to claims 1524 and 4921 is	22	5	
11	sustained and claims are reduced			
12	Claim 3848 allowed	22	12	
13	Trust's objection to claim 4930 overruled	43	11	
14	without prejudice			
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

CERTIFICATION I, Dena Page, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. DENA PAGE AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET**D 629 eScribers 352 Seventh Ave., Suite #607 New York, NY 10001 Date: March 24, 2017

21:3:40:15.21: 7:16.24:8:8.19.23: 11:19 42:25 9:14,18;10:22,25; 42:24;46:8 appears (3) August (3) # 29:25;30:1;33:16 add (1) 11:2.10.14:12:7.19. 10:5;12:9;45:23 authority (1) 37:3 20,21;13:16,19,23; applicable (1) #10296 (1) addition (2) 14:3,5,14,22;15:25; 23:8 38:9 2:13 13:8:36:11 16:13;17:12,19,23; applied (2) automatically (3) #10310(1) additional (2) 18:11,16,22;19:10, 24:25;32:13 33:18,19,22 2:2 13:13:41:23 12.13 applies (1) available (2) #10311(1) address (12) allegation (3) 37:19 32:15;46:22 2:8 5:24:7:3:26:17.19: 8:13:10:7:23:11 appreciate (2) Avenue (1) #604(1) 27:17;30:15;32:25; allegations (3) 10:10;16:10 2:22 2:22 20:20;23:6;38:11 34:9.11:36:6:37:9.10 approach (1) aware (4) addressed (4) alleged (3) 13:2:16:5:43:4,6 5.5 \$ 10:8;23:14;30:3; 5:12;22:24;38:18 appropriately (1) away (1) 43:7 alleges (3) 40:3 28:16 \$2,939.80(1) addresses (2) 39:4,7;44:19 approval (1) 27:16 B 8:15;17:22 Allow (7) 13:11 adequately (1) 2:15,15;19:21,22; approved (1) A 18:20 20:24;21:25;37:1 17:16 back (8) adjacent (2) allowed (4) approximately (2) 6:14;7:9;8:10; ability (1) 13:6;22:9,12; 42:17;46:19 30:18;32:18;33:19; 5:24:7:3 15:24 adjourned (3) 37:25 Arett (84) 34:14;40:9 able (8) 2:6;4:21;48:8 allowing (1) 19:14,15,17,17; background (3) 5:16;6:13;27:9; adjusted (2) 37:7 20:7,10,14;21:3,6,9, 4:17;5:11;13:1 31:21;41:2,4;45:7; 33:19,22 allows (4) 11,13,17,21,24;22:4, bad (2) 46:24 administered (1) 36:21,24,25;37:3 6,8,11,13,18,20,23; 16:8;18:7 absolutely (2) 13:3 alone (1) 23:14,25;24:4,19,25; balance (1) 45:18;46:25 administrative (1) 34:20 25:5,9,14,19,24; 37:6 accept (3) 13:14 alternate (1) 26:2,7,12,20,24; Bank (14) 15:11,13;19:6 advanced (1) 45:16 27:2.8.15.20.23: 2:4;4:20,24;5:11; access (1) 27:14 although (1) 6:3,13;10:11,17; 28:12,16,21,25;29:2, 16:23 advise (1) 13:8 5,13,15,18,20;30:13, 11:17,19,20,21;12:1, accordance (1) 18:18 always (1) 17;31:3;38:20;39:1, 35:13 bankruptcy (2) advised (1) 45:17 6,13,18,21,23,25; according (1) 40:2,6;43:22,24; 30:19;41:21 32:3 America (12) 37:5 affect (1) 2:5;4:24;5:12; 44:3,7,9,13,16,19,22, bar (1) account (23) 24;45:13,23;46:14; 43:25 15:23 6:13;10:12,17;11:17, 27:19;28:1,5,6,13, affected (1) based (1) 19,20,21;12:1,2 47:2,20,21,24;48:6 16;30:6;31:9,17,21; 44:20 American (1) argue (1) 22:24 32:21;33:2,6,11,25; affects (2) 38:8 7:24 basically (1) 35:17,21,23;36:23; 15:17;35:25 America's (1) argued (1) 10:15 37:2,7;38:1;40:13 affidavit (2) 6:3 5:22 basis (4) accounts (1) 6:11;7:7 amount (19) argument (2) 6:3;10:2;26:6,14 33:4 affiliates (1) 7:19;8:7,23,24; 7:2;32:23 behalf (4) accuracy (1) 2:10;11:19;19:18; 4:20 9:2,8,14,17,17;10:3; arise (1) 20:19 again (5) 22:1,9;25:3;27:14; 15:9 37:3 acknowledge (1) 33:2;40:13;45:17, 29:9;33:17;34:5; around (1) beyond (1) 29:16 19,22 37:3;47:11 36:20 7:4 acknowledges (1) against (5) amounts (2) asserted (1) big (1) 27:11 13:9;17:18;20:17; 39:11:41:16 22:1 18:3 Act (5) 22:9;33:2 analysis (2) asserts (3) **bill (5)** 30:16;31:2;34:12; agenda (3) 33:23;34:4 9:2;22:25;23:3 23:10,24;24:3,8; 37:11,11 4:8;12:21;19:19 and/or (1) assorted (1) 32:2 acted (1) 9:22 blue (1) agree (3) 39:11 23:7 24:2;26:23;45:4 assume (3) anymore (3) 9:7 action (1) 10:19;14:19;18:14 agreement (3) 7:6;10:19;27:9 BofA (1) 38:17 14:25;16:13;43:5 apologize (2) attached (2) 12:15 activities (1) ahead (6) 24:6;41:6 5:2;10:6 books (3) 37:24 4:16;5:9;31:5; appear (3) attaches (2) 20:19,20;23:5 activity (1) 33:1;34:15;37:15 10:2;45:7;46:2 9:5,6 borrowed (2) 41:5 ALLARD (46) appearance (1) attorney (3) 9:4,8 actual (2) 4:6,7,16,17;5:1,4, 9:12 11:3,5;18:1 Borrower (25) 30:4:40:14 10,21;6:7,9,19,22; appeared (1) attorney's (1) 2:13,14,15,16; actually (5)

March 23, 2017

Case No. 12-12020-mg

Case No. 12-12020-mg

l. Pg 52 of 60

13:20,21,24;14:4,9, 25:16:1:19:18.20.21. 21,22;20:17,18,22, 24;21:25;22:14; 23:5;24:7;31:11 borrower's (8) 15:4,10,13,22; 16:4,24;18:24;23:22 **both (3)** 30:20;31:3;46:12 BPOs (1) 41:25 breach (7) 26:17,19;32:25; 33:9,13;34:7;36:23 breached (2) 33:5,6 breakdown (1) 42:8 briefly (1) 20:13 burden (1) 7:16 business (1) 23:20

\mathbf{C}

buyer (1)

41:25

calculate (1) 41:12 calculated (1) 41:20 calendar (1) 46:23 California (10) 23:12;27:17;31:7, 10;32:24;33:3;36:1; 37:18:45:14:46:5 call (1) 32:8 called (4) 9:21;32:12,16; 33:10 calls (1) 7:8 came (1) 11:17 can (13) 7:12,14;9:9;10:21; 12:24;25:21;31:12; 36:11;45:6,12,23; 47:1;48:4 cancelled (1) 33:21 Capital (1) 4:4 Case (36) 2:9:12:23:13:12. 12,13,18;14:12,16, 17,20;15:12,16,23,

17,21,25;18:3,6,7,9,
20;30:19;31:22;
38:8;44:1,25;45:5,8,
10,20;46:17
cases (4)
13:2,3,10,12
cash (2)
41:20;42:15
cashier's (1)
43:3
categories (2)
20:12,13
category (2)
21:23,24
cause (1)

20:12,13
category (2)
21:23,24
cause (1)
11:16
caused (1)
42:24
CC (2)
2:8,13

certain (1) 14:6 certainty (1) 19:3 certificate (1) 12:1

chance (3) 5:7;24:13,16 change (1)

15:2 Chapter (3) 2:9;12:23;13:2 charge (1)

34:1 **charged (4)** 33:7,25;37:4;42:1

charges (5) 33:7,13;34:7; 35:18;42:21

check (1) 43:4 chief (1) 4:13

circumstance (1) 12:14 citing (1)

38:9 Civil (7) 23:12;27:18; 30:16;31:7;32:24; 33:3;35:19

33:3;35:19 Claim (81) 2:5,16;4:23,23,25; 5:12,14,16,17,20; 6:3,14;7:6,15,18,21, 23;8:5,6,22,23;9:2,3, 5,17,24,25;10:1,4,13,

15,17,24;11:2,3,17, 23;12:8,9,10,11,16; 13:15,21,23;15:4,8, 9,11,13,18;16:4; 17:15,18;18:8,9; 19:22;20:9,16;21:1, 7;22:9,12,17,24; 26:18,19;29:14; 30:16;31:6;32:25; 34:11;35:10;36:18, 19,20;40:20;43:12, 16;45:17;47:2 **claimant (2)**

7:17,24 claimants (1) 20:22 claimed (1) 34:24

Claims (37) 2:3,3,6,13,14,14, 15;4:10,19,19,22; 6:23:7:22:13:6.9.2

6:23;7:22;13:6,9,20, 22,24;14:4,25;15:17, 22;16:1;19:18,20,21, 21;20:16,18,20,22, 24;21:22,25;22:7;

35:21;47:6 clear (2) 6:25;24:5 clerical (1) 5:23

CLERK (1) 4:2 close (7) 13:11,18;15:16;

17:12,15;18:19; 45:19 **closed (10)**

14:13,16,19,21; 15:13;16:15;18:3,6, 7;35:1 closest (1)

31:12 **Closing (6)** 2:9;12:23;15:23; 16:6;17:17,20

clue (1) 6:2 Code (7) 23:12;27:18; 30:16;31:8;32:24; 33:3;35:19

colleagues (1)44:25 **collect (2)**39:11,12

Collection (4) 30:16;37:10,11,24 coming (1) 14:17

companies (1) 34:21 company (1) 27:25 competent (2)

7:20;8:21 complaining (1) 40:9 complete (1) 36:16 **completed (1)** 45:24

completely (3) 31:7;33:20;36:7 compliance (1)

23:7 concedes (2) 23:9,23

concern (2) 10:13;16:6 concluded (1) 48:9

conditionally (1) 18:19

conducted (1) 13:19 confer (8)

18:12;45:15,17,18, 18;46:13,15;48:4 conference (4)

45:5,8,20;46:18 **confirm (1)** 27:15

confirmed (1) 13:4 confirming (1)

26:2 consecutive (1) 31:15 consequences (2)

19:7;25:17 **considered (1)** 33:23

consisted (1) 13:3 consolidated (1)

13:5 **constrained (1)** 5:17

contact (3) 5:11,13;7:5 contacting (1) 11:4

contends (1) 38:10 contest (1) 12:3 contesting (1)

11:22 **continually (1)** 34:23

continued (1)

42:22 **continuing (5)** 37:19;39:8,10,10,

continuously (1) 32:6 contract (7)

26:17,19;32:25; 33:9,13;34:8;36:23 cooperate (2) 16:16,18 cooperation (2) 14:25;16:13 coordinate (5) 16:12,22;17:4,6,10 copies (2) 30:9;43:5 copy (5) 4:25;11:25;27:20; 34:23;40:25

March 23, 2017

25:24 **counsel (7)** 5:11;11:25,25; 35:2;38:25;45:14; 47:13

correcting (1)

count (1) 35:19

County (16) 23:18;24:20,22, 25;25:13,20;26:2,5, 14;27:3,6,13;29:5, 10;34:17,18

course (1) 32:13

COURT (159)
4:3,16,25;5:2,6,9,
19;6:1,8,10,20;7:10,
18;8:3,9,20;9:1,13,
15,19;10:23;11:1,8,
11,16;12:8,10,20;
13:15,17,21;14:2,4,
11,15:15:3:16:2,8

13,15,15,121,14:2,4,
11,15;15:3;16:2,8,
15,20;17:7,11,20,24;
18:12,17,18,23;19:5,
11,13,16;20:1,3,5,8,
11,25;21:5,8,10,12,
15,18,22;22:3,5,7,10,
12,17,19,22;23:9,22;
24:1,9,11,13,16,23;
25:3,7,12,15,23;
26:1,4,11,17,23;
27:1,5,10,17,22;
28:8,15,18,24;29:1,
3,12,14,16,19;30:12,
15;31:1,4,24;32:1,
23;34:9,11,15;35:4;

27:1,5,10,17,22; 28:8,15,18,24;29:1, 3,12,14,16,19;30:12, 15;31:1,4,24;32:1, 23;34:9,11,15;35:4; 37:9,15;38:4,20,23, 25;39:2,9,16,20,22, 24;40:1,5,17;41:7, 12;42:3,5,10,12,14, 19;43:11,18,20,22; 44:1,4,8,10,15,18,21, 24;46:6;47:1,18,20,

22,25;48:7 COURTCALL (2) 9:11,12 courtroom (1) 45:6 Court's (2) 45:12,23

cover (1)

36:14

24;16:6,15;17:12,15,

Case No. 12-12020-mg		·		Wiarch 23, 2017
created (1)	6;36:19,20,24	4:21;6:13	20:19	ETS (11)
28:12	default (1)	dispersed (1)	e-file (1)	13:13,14,18;14:10,
credit (2)	42:24	26:14	26:13	12;15:4,12;16:6;
44:20,23	defendant (1)	dispute (2)	either (2)	17:14,18;18:19
Credits (1)	38:18	15:15;45:16	6:23;9:15	ETS's (3)
38:9	defendants (1)	disputed (5)	electronic (2)	14:15,20;17:16
current (1)	38:16	23:10;24:2,4,6;	17:2;18:5	even (5)
11:12	deficiencies (1)	47:5	electronics (1)	23:15;30:18,21;
currently (1)	39:11	distinction (2)	17:2	35:18;36:5
13:23	deficiency (2)	28:4,7	element (5)	Everybody (1)
	39:14,17	distribution (1)	7:21,22;8:4,22;	19:9
D	delinquency (1)	15:21	10:14	evidence (13)
1 (1)	27:4	distributions (3)	else (5)	7:19,20,21;8:3,7,
damage (1)	delinquent (11)	13:5,19;14:7	7:12,14;10:21;	21,24;12:12;23:18;
8:24	23:19;26:13,21;	district (1) 18:1	47:15,22 email (2)	24:7;26:4,15;38:14
damaged (1) 7:25	27:1,2;31:14,15,20, 23;34:18,22	divert (1)	34:24;35:2	exact (2) 26:8;28:23
damages (7)	demand (2)	33:10	emails (2)	exactly (3)
32:20;35:10;	37:23;39:22	diverted (2)	7:9;34:21	25:10;39:14,19
40:17;41:7,9;44:12;	demonstrate (1)	33:12,25	emotional (1)	examine (2)
47:6	23:6	Doc (3)	41:9	9:25;12:11
date (8)	demonstrates (1)	2:2,8,13	end (3)	examined (1)
13:2;14:6;28:23;	27:24	docket (4)	28:2;37:25;46:20	20:18
34:6;38:18;40:16;	Dena (1)	12:2;19:22;22:16,	ended (1)	example (1)
45:4,6	2:20	21	14:7	36:12
dates (1)	department (1)	doctrine (1)	enough (2)	exception (2)
36:14	41:1	37:19	12:17;17:11	31:12,13
day (1)	departments (1)	document (3)	ensuring (1)	exceptions (1)
36:17	30:8	16:5,12,24	38:17	31:11
days (7)	deposition (1)	documents (15)	enter (2)	excuse (1)
41:4;45:1,3,15,19;	44:5 depositor (1)	14:15,20;15:2,5,9, 11,15;16:21,23;17:5,	12:5;44:1 entirely (1)	21:19 Executive (3)
46:15,18 deadline (1)	16:21	8;30:10;43:15;	45:25	2:9;12:23;13:9
45:21	deprive (1)	44:12;46:10	entitled (5)	Exhibit (3)
deal (8)	38:13	dollars (17)	8:6;12:12;13:10,	20:23;21:25;26:10
18:3;20:9;21:15;	deputy (1)	9:3,16;14:2;41:11,	25;14:8	exhibits (1)
29:14;35:24;39:2,	45:7	18,19,22,23,24,24,	entry (2)	46:11
22;47:23	describe (1)	25;42:1,2,4,17,18;	26:12;45:15	exist (2)
deals (1)	20:13	43:9	error (2)	15:5;35:3
18:20	described (3)	done (3)	28:9,10	existence (1)
Deanna (1)	44:10;47:6;48:3	28:20;31:16;32:19	errors (3)	14:24
45:6	destroyed (1)	down (1)	22:24;30:22;36:15	expert (2)
Debt (5)	17:16	43:13	eScribers (1)	45:2;46:17
30:16;37:10,11,	detail (1)	draft (2)	2:21	expired (1)
24;41:4	47:10	18:13,17	escrow (27)	30:24
debtor (3) 13:5,9,12	determine (1) 12:11	due (3) 20:21;22:14;25:10	27:18,18;28:1,5, 10,16;29:3,9;31:9,	explain (3) 9:19;12:6;30:5
debtors (6)	determined (3)	during (3)	17,21;33:2,4,6,7,13,	explaining (1)
13:4,4,6,7,7;20:17	20:20,22;22:8	22:24;46:3,21	14,16,23;34:1,3;	12:16
debtors' (2)	different (4)	duty (1)	35:17,23;36:23;37:1,	
20:18;22:2	7:3;13:10;17:3;	18:2	7;42:21	20:15
December (8)	28:4		essential (1)	expunged (2)
25:1,6;29:7,20;	difficulty (1)	${f E}$	10:14	11:24;21:22
30:23,25;32:13,14	10:10		establish (1)	extent (1)
declaration (3)	directly (1)	earlier (3)	10:2	7:25
4:13;6:11;26:10	41:15	22:14;23:14;35:11	established (1)	extremely (1)
declared (1)	disallow (1)	early (1)	28:10	30:21
41:21	21:1	46:22	establishing (2)	T
Decree (2)	discovery (12)	ECF (3)	27:18;31:16	F
2:9;12:22	14:18;43:14,22;	4:10;7:7;12:24	estate (2)	e (A)
deed (11)	44:16,22;45:1,3,9,	effect (2)	14:10;34:12	face (4)
9:5;10:6;23:7;	19;46:16,17,20	8:25;38:16	estates (2)	10:5;12:9;45:13,
26:20,24;30:9;33:3,	discussions (2)	effort (1)	14:23;22:2	14

Case No. 12-12020-mg	. 9			March 23, 2017
facie (5)	4:14	34:25	handed (1)	2:15
7:19;8:7;10:2,2;	find (7)	31.23	10:5	imaged (1)
12:12	12:14;15:8;17:14;	G	handled (1)	17:2
fact (20)	26:8;35:2;37:14;		13:18	images (1)
8:15;23:10,23;	38:7	gap (1)	happened (7)	17:3
24:2,5,6;25:12,16;	fine (4)	28:18	6:15;7:15;12:6,17;	immediately (1)
28:20;31:9;33:15;	24:18;37:15;	gave (2)	25:3;29:3;37:22	32:16
35:11;40:23;45:1,3,	38:23;47:16	5:24;27:7	happening (1)	impair (1)
9,19;46:16,20;47:5	finish (1)	General (3)	14:20	15:24
facts (5)	6:8	35:16,22;38:8	happens (1)	impairs (1)
5:21;6:4;7:4;	first (10)	generous (1)	14:15	15:17
12:17;38:11	4:8;8:4,5;30:17,	30:21	happy (6)	improper (4)
fair (7)	23;31:6;37:22;39:3;	gets (1)	17:6,23;18:2,7,11;	5:12,22;6:3;8:1
12:7;14:13,14;	40:7;43:2	10:6	22:21	improperly (3)
30:16;31:24;37:10,	five (2)	given (2)	hard (2)	8:13;10:7,9
11	35:7,12	35:14,14	47:7,11	inadequate (1)
fall (1)	flag (1)	giving (1)	hazard (1)	23:4
11:12	35:24	7:13	26:22	inadvertently (1)
falls (1)	Foerster (2)	GMAC (66)	hear (1)	8:14
31:11	16:3;19:17	5:19;6:15,17;8:12,	38:25	inception (1)
far (4)	follow (3)	13,15;10:6,7,8;13:6,	Hearing (6)	36:17
8:8;31:16;34:6,19	24:20;33:4;45:13	8;22:24,25;23:3,6,	2:2,3,5;11:18,20;	include (3)
FCPDA (2)	followed (1)	15;24:19,23;25:1,7,	12:4	5:17;35:11;41:10
38:19;40:22	34:6	15,18,21,21;26:5,6,7,	hearings (1)	included (3)
FDCPA (2)	following (3)	15,21;27:3,7,14;	46:7	35:8,10;36:8
30:15;39:3	32:12,14;34:2	28:4,8,14,19,21;	hearsay (1)	incorrect (1)
February (5)	follows (1)	29:3,6,7,8,21,22,24,	10:18	24:22
4:10;12:24;19:23;	26:13	25;30:2,5,8;31:8,16;	help (1)	indicates (1)
29:23;40:12	forgot (1)	32:3,6,9;33:16;	9:9	7:7
federal (1)	37:2	35:12,16,22;36:1,9,	high (1)	indicating (1)
38:5	form (4)	24;37:22,23;39:10;	14:22	11:16
feel (1) 42:21	45:11,13,22,24	40:3;41:2,21	histories (3)	indiscernible (1) 36:20
fees (6)	forth (5) 7:9,17,23;8:6,17	GMAC's (1) 41:1	36:12,14,16 history (1)	information (5)
41:24;42:1,3,5,8,	forty-seven (1)	goes (4)	40:8	6:24;7:17;28:10;
25	13:11	17:15;35:18;46:1,	hold (1)	43:7;44:9
fifth (1)	forward (8)	8	5:15	informative (2)
35:7	2:5;4:23;7:20,22;	Good (5)	Honor (14)	6:12,23
fifty (1)	8:21;23:20;29:11;	4:6;17:11;19:15,	4:6;9:11;12:19;	informed (5)
14:2	48:3	16;48:6	16:4,10;18:22;	5:15;7:5;26:5;
fifty-one (3)	found (2)	government (1)	19:15;20:4;21:21;	27:4;32:6
13:3,4,11	12:24;24:23	35:1	27:9;29:18;46:25;	initial (1)
figured (1)	four (5)	grant (1)	47:19,21	26:14
40:25	20:15;21:1;29:15;	18:19	Honor's (1)	instead (1)
file (2)	35:7;36:13	Great (1)	16:6	7:1
12:1;18:8	fourteen (2)	22:13	hope (1)	insufficient (2)
filed (20)	45:15,19	greater (1)	7:13	33:11,24
2:10;4:10,20,23;	fourth (2)	22:1	Horner (5)	insurance (6)
8:23;9:20,22;11:2,	21:13;29:25	Green (1)	4:13,14;9:9,10,12	23:1,16;26:22;
19;12:10,24;15:7;	frame (1)	11:5	house (3)	33:15,17,20
19:22;22:9,20;23:9;	38:3	grounds (1)	41:17;42:24;43:9	intended (1)
38:3,17;40:20;46:10	Francisco (3)	11:22	т	38:13
files (1)	23:18;24:20;26:14	group (1)	I	interest (11)
11:21	Frankel (1)	13:9	!l (1)	5:15;33:10,19,24;
filing (1)	4:7	grouped (1)	idea (1)	37:5;41:19,23,25;
30:19 Final (3)	fulfilled (1)	20:12	11:8	42:1,23;43:25
Final (3)	36:9 Full (3)	groups (1)	identical (1)	interested (1) 7:6
2:9;12:22;40:16 finalized (1)	2:15;19:22;33:17	13:5 guess (2)	4:19 ignored (2)	interject (1)
39:21	funds (7)	20:11;46:22	10:15;36:7	24:12
Finally (2)	13:25;14:9;29:7;	20.11,40.22	II (1)	into (8)
32:11,11	33:12,20,24,25	Н	2:15	13:5;28:1,13;
financial (1)	Furthermore (1)		III (1)	31:11;35:5;38:16;
	· · (1)		(-/	21.11,00.0,00.10,

RESIDENTIAL CAPIT Case No. 12-12020-mg	ral, LLC, et al. Pg	55 of 60		March 23, 2017
42:24;46:12	15;42:4,6,11,12,13,	lien (13)	management (7)	28:2;42:18
investigating (1)	16,20;43:15,17,19,	5:13,20,22;6:4,5,	44:1,25;45:5,8,11,	months' (1)
35:21	21;45:4,7,10,23;	16,20;7:1,2;8:1,14,	20;46:17	43:2
investigations (1)	46:4,5,21,23,25;	16,16	manner (1)	more (1)
36:8	47:8,15,16,19;48:4	limitation (1)	48:3	38:14
involves (1)	Kennedy's (9)	37:20	many (2)	morning (4)
33:15	21:7,10,14,16;	limitations (12)	7:4,8	4:6;19:15,16;
IRA (6)	22:23;28:6;29:7,11;	30:21,24;31:1;	March (4)	46:22
41:15,20,22;	30:6	35:10;37:12;38:2,10,	11:18,23;22:14,20	Morris (6)
42:15;43:10;44:17	kept (2)	12,13,15;39:2,5	matter (13)	11:1,1,3,3,6,6
irrelevant (1)	37:23,23	limited (1)	4:8;11:5;12:21;	Morrison (2)
31:19 issue (18)	knowledge (1) 6:4	6:23 line (2)	16:25;17:1;19:19; 41:5;43:13;45:2,21;	16:3;19:17
8:15;15:9,12;16:5;	Kramer (1)	19:25;20:1	46:1,8;48:2	Mortgage (25) 5:19;8:12;13:6;
17:25;23:10,23;24:2,	4:7	liquidated (1)	Matthew (1)	22:24;23:1,15;
4,6;25:19;27:17;	1.7	19:4	11:5	24:19;25:1;26:7,15,
31:7;32:2;33:14;	${f L}$	Liquidating (13)	May (12)	21;27:3;28:4,14;
34:14;36:22;37:12		2:2,8,11;4:8,9,13,	5:5;13:1;15:11;	29:6,8;30:2,5,8;
issues (3)	last (3)	18;12:22;13:20;	18:23;24:12;28:23;	34:21;36:1,24;37:4,
16:23;30:3;47:5	37:21;39:4,5	14:23;15:1;16:18;	29:23;32:1,11;	22;40:3
itemized (1)	Late (1)	17:1	42:10;47:7,11	mortgagee (2)
44:8	41:24	listed (2)	maybe (2)	8:17;10:19
items (2)	later (5)	9:14,16	14:19,19	mortgages (1) 34:20
44:11;47:6	23:18;24:19; 33:25;35:19;43:3	listened (1) 47:11	mean (9) 7:16;10:15;25:12,	Mortgage's (2)
J	law (8)	litigated (1)	21;27:2;39:13;40:2,	23:3;29:7
	23:8;31:19;33:8;	13:24	6,10	Moss (15)
January (2)	36:4,6;37:18,18;38:5	little (1)	means (2)	13:15,17;14:12,
29:21,22	lawyer (2)	13:1	15:3;30:24	12;15:6,7,8,9,14,17,
Jessica (1)	11:1;12:15	live (1)	meet (1)	18,21;16:25;17:15;
19:17	lay (1)	46:4 LLC (4)	36:10	18:8 most (1)
Jill (1) 4:13	47:10 lead (1)	2:10,21;5:19;	mention (1) 37:2	38:17
joint (1)	13:12	12:23	met (1)	mostly (1)
45:22	leads (1)	loan (10)	7:17	13:14
jointly (1)	35:5	6:17;8:12;10:6,18;	million (3)	Motion (6)
13:3	learn (1)	11:8,11,13;22:25;	14:2,5,8	2:8;11:21;12:22;
Joseph (1)	25:8	36:17;42:16	mind (1)	15:15,20;18:19
2:10	learned (6)	local (1)	47:12	Motors (2)
Judge (1)	24:20;28:19,22;	33:4 locate (1)	minute (1) 20:9	35:16,22 move (1)
17:16 July (4)	29:4;35:18;36:5 least (4)	27:9	miss (2)	13:13
25:9,11;26:14;	5:3;7:21;8:21;	long (2)	31:14;32:4	moved (1)
27:3	10:14	15:2;40:10	misstated (1)	13:11
June (1)	left (3)	longer (2)	31:7	moving (2)
29:24	13:12;21:6;31:23	5:15;11:3	mistake (3)	5:2;8:15
	legal (3)	look (8)	5:23;25:13,15	much (9)
K	23:24;25:17;38:9	5:7;8:10;10:16;	mistakenly (2)	9:3,4,6;27:10;
1 (2)	lender (1)	15:6;17:12;30:4;	6:5;27:13	28:18;40:17;41:7;
keep (2) 34:19;47:12	23:1 letter (3)	40:2,6 looking (1)	misunderstanding (1) 5:25	47:16;48:7 must (1)
Kennedy (80)	10:24;35:15;41:1	10:4	modify (1)	31:14
19:25;20:1,2,3,4,9;	letters (1)	looks (2)	17:13	mute (1)
22:15,25;23:2;24:2,	37:23	45:12,24	moment (1)	7:11
7,10,12,13,14,15,18,	level (1)	lump (1)	37:14	myself (2)
24;25:20;26:5;27:4,	14:22	37:5	money (2)	12:14;15:8
11;28:9,13,20,22;	Levin (1)	N	29:11;32:8	NT
29:4;30:3,17;31:5,6, 25;32:1,5;33:1;	4:7 Liability (5)	M	month (4) 28:1;34:2;42:18;	N
25;32:1,5;33:1; 34:10,13,16;35:5;	2:3,14;19:21;	mailed (1)	43:9	Naftalis (1)
37:10,13,16;38:5,22,	20:17,21	30:23	monthly (4)	4:7
23,24;39:3,7,14;	liable (1)	makes (1)	28:3;42:16,17,22	named (1)
40:10,17,19;41:9,13,	22:2	28:4	months (2)	11:5

ase No. 12-12020-mg	_		T	March 25, 2017
narrow (2)	11:17,18,22,23;12:3,	31:9;33:2;35:17,	25:1,4,8,9,11,16,17;	petition (2)
36:2,2	10;15:7;19:20;	23	26:6,6;27:7,13;28:9,	13:2;30:19
Nathaniel (1)	20:15,23;21:4,20;	opening (2)	14,14;29:6,9,10;	ph (1)
4:6	22:5,13,15;23:13,15;	33:5;36:23	31:9,18,19;32:4;	16:21
nature (1)	35:9;43:12;47:4;	operate (1)	33:17;35:16,17,22,	phone (5)
5:25	48:2	36:4	22,23;36:21;39:14	4:14;7:10,11;9:10;
necessary (2)	objections (1)	operations@escribersnet (1)	Palm (1)	30:7
10:14;47:13	20:12	2:25	46:5	piece (1)
need (18)	objector (1)	OPERATOR (1)	paper (2)	27:6
15:10,23;16:25;	7:20	9:11	18:5;27:6	place (2)
17:3,12;27:15;32:8,	obligated (2)	opposing (1)	papers (5)	14:25;38:14
17;36:3;43:15;44:7,	16:17,18	35:2	5:2;8:15,18;9:25;	placed (2)
9,16,22;45:15,17,18;	obviously (1)	order (23)	10:8	23:1;28:5
47:25	39:10	9:22;12:5;17:8,13,	paragraph (2)	placing (1)
needed (2)	occurred (1)	20,22;18:4,13,15,20;	23:11,13	27:25
30:7;41:16	37:21	21:1;31:21;36:4,10;	Part (6)	plaintiffs (1)
needs (1)	occurring (1)	41:16;43:1;44:2;	6:1;13:8;17:10;	38:13
43:13	32:21	45:1,11,15,22;46:14;	26:8;28:3;37:2	plan (2)
New (1)	o'clock (1)	48:1	particularly (1)	13:4;14:5
2:23	46:24	ordering (2)	6:12	please (5)
next (7)	October (8)	47:25;48:1	parties (1)	4:3;5:6;7:10;
12:21;19:19;	26:12;28:21,24;	OSC (1)	18:2	22:22;38:21
21:23,24;32:4;45:4,	33:21;34:17,24,25;	11:25	parties' (1)	plus (4)
20	35:8	others (1)	15:24	41:22,24;42:1,4
Ninety-Fifth (2)	Ocwen (1)	18:2	Pause (4)	pm (1)
2:13;20:15	38:1	otherwise (1)	5:8;11:7,15;41:14	11:22
ninety-fifty (1)	off (3)	8:14	pay (15)	point (7)
19:20	28:14;39:14;43:2	ought (1)	25:5;26:21;28:1;	5:14;24:25;28:6,
Ninety-Sixth (2)	offered (2)	19:9	29:7,11;32:2;33:12;	11;29:5;31:23;39:14
2:2;4:9 none (2)	5:14;7:25 office (2)	out (13) 6:4;17:14,21;19:8;	34:1,1,5;36:25; 41:16,21;42:22;43:1	policy (2) 33:21;34:6
8:17;31:23	9:21;10:23	21:6,12;24:24;	Payco (2)	position (4)
Norm (1)	officer (1)	29:10;30:23;36:13;	38:8,10	14:11;23:24;
16:3	4:14	40:25;45:9;47:10	paying (2)	27:12;30:13
note (6)	offices (1)	outside (1)	28:13;42:20	possession (3)
9:3,6,6;30:10;	35:1	35:9	payment (30)	15:4;16:25;18:24
36:18;37:5	Omnibus (7)	outstanding (2)	9:7;27:11;28:2,3,	possible (1)
notes (10)	2:3,4,14;4:9;	37:4,6	14,19,21,23;29:4,8;	46:13
26:8,8,9;27:6,12;	19:20;20:15;46:18	over (3)	31:10,22;32:4,5,6,7,	practices (4)
28:7;30:9;32:3;	once (2)	11:5;23:10;38:1	9,12,14,15;33:8,11,	23:20;30:16;
37:14;40:13	28:13;33:2	overrule (1)	12,18,22,23;36:22;	37:10,11
notice (5)	one (23)	43:12	42:16,23;43:3	pre-confirmation (1)
27:3,7;28:8;34:17;	6:17;7:1,21;8:21;	overruled (1)	payments (6)	14:6
42:25	9:23;10:14;11:19;	48:2	31:15,15;33:9;	prejudice (3)
notified (1)	21:3,13;22:9,15;	overruling (1)	40:11;42:14,17	14:12;43:12;48:2
32:17	29:25;31:3,11;	47:4	pejorative (1)	prepare (1)
November (2)	34:13;35:7;36:15;	owing (1)	10:16	44:25
33:18,22	37:13,14;38:17;40:4,	20:21	penalty (1)	prepared (5)
number (9)	8;43:9	own (3)	41:22	7:19;10:1;12:12;
4:10,23;11:17;	ones (1)	23:19;27:21;34:6	people (1)	17:12;46:9
12:25;19:19,23;	20:25	owns (1)	46:2	pre-petition (1)
22:16,21;30:7	one-year (1)	11:8	Per (1)	20:16
NY (1)	38:12	P	26:12	present (2)
2:23	only (11)	r	period (1)	36:17;41:2
0	4:23;17:18;20:5,6;	Dadilla (2)	40:8	preservation (9)
0	29:8;33:10,15,20,24;	Padilla (2)	permit (1) 46:2	16:5,12;17:5,8,14, 22;18:3,21;19:8
objected (1)	36:15;40:25 oops (1)	38:8,11 Page (4)	permitted (1)	preserved (1)
	17:16	2:20;16:11;26:9;	26:21	19:9
		4.40,10.11,40.9,		
4:18 Objection (37)		37.18	nersisted (1)	nre-trial (2)
Objection (37)	open (4)	37:18 paid (39)	persisted (1)	pre-trial (2) 45:22:46:7
Objection (37) 2:3,4,14;4:9,12,18,	open (4) 13:12;31:21;37:1,	paid (39)	32:11	45:22;46:7
Objection (37)	open (4)			

32:9;43:2 36:9 prevented (1) provide (6) really (6) 5:10:13:1:17:13: 7:6,17;12:17; refute (1) requirements (2) 32:20 29:6:30:7;36:13 35:13:36:10 prevents (1) 40:10;47:7,10 7:21 41:4 provided (11) reason (3) refutes (2) requires (4) 18:4:30:3,9:33:20; 5:16;9:16;35:1 7:22;37:7 30:4;33:3;45:2,13 previously (2) 6:14:13:10 36:12,15;40:7,8,12; reasons (1) regard (1) requiring (1) 12:5 prima (5) 43:7;44:13 47:4 33:4 7:19;8:7;10:2,2; provides (1) rebut (1) regarding (5) ResCap (13) 2:2,8,10,13;4:7,9; 12:12 37:19 8:4 33:14;35:14; principal (4) providing (1) rebuts (1) 39:25;44:9,17 5:19;6:5;9:24;12:22; 33:10,19,24;42:23 45:1 8:21 regulation (1) 13:6;16:4;19:18 pull (1) rebutted (1) Prior (1) 36:3 research (1) 40:9 27:20 10:14 regulations (1) 35:20 private (1) purpose (2) receive (2) 33:4 reserve (1) 18:2 13:14;27:24 13:5;40:24 related (3) 43:25 5:12;33:7;40:23 probably (2) Pursuant (3) received (17) Residential (1) 4:17;26:20,24 19:24;20:6;22:15; 12:4,5 relates (1) 4:4 problem (3) 23:15,18,21;24:7,21; 2:4 resolution (1) pursue (1) 26:16;27:3;28:8; 6:1;15:21;46:6 41:4 relating (1) 45:16 problems (1) pursuing (2) 29:9;33:16;34:17, 15:9 resolve (4) 7:6;37:24 24;40:23;42:7 relay (1) 5:14;8:2;15:18; 7:14 Procedures (1) 30:18 pushed (1) recent (1) 45:17 34:12 42:23 38:18 release (8) resolved (4) proceed (1) put (5) recently (1) 5:13,19,22;6:4,5, 10:12;18:9;32:22; 10:22 7:10,17;8:21; 40:25 20;8:1;10:9 45:21 proceedings (1) record (3) released (7) **RESPA (10)** 33:11,24 48:9 19:3;27:8,21 7:2,2;8:13,16,16; 23:4;30:4,14; 0 34:11;35:13;36:10; produce (1) records (15) 9:1;10:7 15:14 8:11;17:14,16,22; releasing (1) 39:22,23,24;40:21 program (1) quotes (1) 18:3,5,5,21,23; 7:1 respect (1) 41:17 37:18 20:19,21;23:6; relevance (1) 6:16 prohibited (1) QWR (9) 24:21:34:18.19 15:12 respond (6) 33:8 29:20.22:30:23: recoup (1) rely (2) 5:18;12:3;24:16; prohibits (2) 35:6,21;36:5,11,11, 43:1 17:1:19:3 31:5:35:12:38:20 31:10;38:10 recover (3) responded (5) 15 remaining (1) 29:21,23,24,25; proof (20) **QWRs (10)** 40:18;41:8;44:12 17:18 5:20;6:23;7:18,23; remains (1) 40:3 23:3;29:15,17; recovering (2) 8:5;9:2,5,24,25;10:1, 35:6,12;36:13; 13:15 47:7,11 response (18) 4,25;11:2,3;12:8; remember (1) 39:25;40:6;42:7; recovery (3) 9:20;11:18,21; 22:23;23:16,17,21; 43:5 13:17,24;14:8 14:18 12:3,9,10:19:24; 33:20 red (1) remove (1) 20:6;22:15;23:9; R proofs (1) 35:24 29:8 30:18:35:9:36:5: 40:23,25;41:3;42:8; 20:15 Reduce (4) removed (2) 2:15;19:21;20:24; 34:2,5 44:14 proper (4) raised (2) responses (5) 10:5;29:16;36:15; 30:4,17 21:25 removing (1) 22:13;23:3;30:2, 42:22 raising (1) reduced (2) 34:7 38:11 14:6;22:7 14;40:2 properly (5) reply (3) 7:18;10:1,9;12:11; 22:20;24:5;26:10 reducing (1) responsible (1) rather (1) 36:4 38:16 13:14 report (1) 25:21 properties (1) **RBS** (1) reference (1) 46:7 responsive (1) 34:19 4:21 27:5 reported (2) 15:14 property (20) **RE (2)** referring (1) 25:15;27:13 rest (1) 5:16,20,24;6:5,16, 2:2.5 16:13 represent (1) 29:14 17,21;7:1,3;8:11,11, reflect (1) review (4) read (2) 20:16 13,16,17;23:1,2; 32:3 representation (1) 6:14;23:5;27:23; 15:6,20 26:21;33:15;34:22, reflected (2) 30:2 19:6 reading (1) 22 20:23;21:25 request (2) reviewed (1) 6:1 40:24;41:3 proposed (2) reads (1) refund (7) 20:8 18:15;46:14 26:12 29:6,9;32:8,8,15, requested (3) reviewing (1) protect (1) Real (1) 16,18 30:11;34:23;42:7 18:20 38:16 34:12 refunded (1) required (3) revised (2) prove (1) 17:20;18:17 realize (1) 33:21 12:9:19:8:31:14 43:16 requirement (1) **RFC** (1) 30:22 refused (2)

a	G G G	10 11 (4)	/4\
			successors (1)
			14:23
			sudden (1)
			43:8
			sufficient (1)
			30:14
			sufficiently (1)
			35:13
			Suite (1)
			2:22
			sum (1)
			37:5
			supplemental (1)
			26:10
			support (3)
	1		4:12;6:11;35:11
		0 ()	supported (1)
			27:12
			supposed (2)
			16:19;35:2
			sure (9)
			13:1;16:22;17:4,
23:4;29:22;35:6,7;			10;19:5,7;27:22;
41:1;42:25			46:15,21
separately (2)	similar (1)	statement (3)	surplus (1)
21:10,16	34:4	14:13;33:16;34:4	32:17
September (1)	simply (2)	states (1)	surprise (2)
26:13	9:22;12:14	31:20	16:8;18:8
serve (1)	single (5)	stating (1)	suspense (2)
11:25	25:24;26:2;35:25;	34:17	33:11,25
served (4)	36:5;40:4	status (5)	sustain (1)
7:8;15:6,7,14	sit (1)	6:6;11:11,13;	9:23
servers (1)	16:24	14:18;18:18	sustained (3)
17:3	situation (1)	statute (17)	11:23;21:20;22:5
serves (1)	35:14		, ,
15:10	situations (1)	24;31:1;35:9;37:12,	T
service (3)	35:25		
	six (3)		talk (5)
			20:9;21:8;45:4;
			46:21;47:12
			talked (2)
, ,			6:24;12:15
			talking (1)
			36:15
			tax (19)
			23:10,23;24:3,8;
			27:11;28:19;29:4;
			31:15,15;32:2,4,5
			12;34:14,22;35:24
			39:14,16;41:1
			taxes (36)
			23:2,17,19,21;
			24:20,24;25:1,2,5
, ,	*		9,16;26:6,6,13,21
		` '	27:7;28:9;29:11;
			31:9,10,18,20,22,2
, ,			23;34:18;35:14,16
			16,22;36:21,22,25
Settlement (5)	46:5	15:11,14	39:7;41:21
	ancolz (1)	gubnosses (1)	toohnicall (1)
34:12;45:16,18;	speak (1)	subpoenas (1)	technically (1)
	speak (1) 16:1 specific (1)	subpoenas (1) 15:10 subsequently (1)	technically (1) 13:8 telephone (3)
	separately (2) 21:10,16 September (1) 26:13 serve (1) 11:25 served (4) 7:8;15:6,7,14 servers (1) 17:3 serves (1) 15:10 service (3) 7:7;10:6;12:1 serviced (2) 8:12;36:1 servicer (1) 34:20 Services (3) 2:10;12:23;13:9 servicing (12) 6:17;10:18,20; 22:25;26:7,9;27:5, 12,25;28:7;30:9; 32:3 set (6) 6:4;7:22;8:17; 12:4;43:13;46:19 sets (1) 8:6 settle (1) 47:2 settled (1) 15:19	32:24 secured (1) 9:2 securitization (1) 10:20 seeing (1) 8:5 seek (2) 13:13;20:15 seeking (4) 40:18;41:7;44:11; 47:12 sell (2) 41:15;43:10 showing (2) selling (1) 37:23 send (3) 34:21;35:15;45:24 sending (1) 37:23 served (6) 41:1;42:25 separately (2) 21:10,16 serves (1) 11:25 served (4) 7:8;15:6,7,14 serves (1) 15:10 service (2) 8:12;36:1 serviced (2) 8:12;36:1 service (1) 34:20 Services (3) 2:10;12:23;13:9 service (1) 34:20 Services (3) 2:10;12:23;13:9 service (1) 34:20 Service (2) 8:12;36:1 sixty (3) service (1) 34:20 Service (2) 8:12;36:1 sixty (3) 2:10;12:23;13:9 service (1) 34:20 Services (3) 2:10;12:23;13:9 service (1) 34:20 Services (3) 2:10;12:23;13:9 service (1) 34:20 Service (1) 34:20 Service (2) 8:12;36:1 sixty (3) 2:10;12:23;13:9 service (1) 34:20 Service (1) 34:20 Service (2) 8:12;36:1 sixty (3) 2:10;12:23;13:9 service (1) 34:20 Service (1) 34:20 Service (2) 8:12;36:1 sixty (3) 45:1,3;46:15 sightly (2) 7:3;14:6 solely (1) 2:4 somebody (6) 8:9,10,10;9:21; 12:15;31:21 sometimes (1) 10:11 Sorry (5) 6:9;23:17;27:20; 48:6 southern (1)	32:24 secured (1) 9:2 securitization (1) 10:20 seing (1) 8:5 seeking (2) 13:13;20:15 seeking (4) 40:118;41:7;44:11; 47:12 sell (2) 41:15;43:10 selling (1) 41:17 send (3) 34:21;35:15;45:24 sending (1) 37:23 sent (6) 23:4;29:22;35:6.7; 41:1;42:25 separately (2) 21:10.16 September (1) 26:13 serve (1) 11:25 serve (4) 7:8;15:6,7,14 servers (1) 15:10 serves (1) 11:25 served (2) 21:10,16 September (1) 26:13 serve (1) 11:25 serve (1) 11:25 serve (2) 21:10,16 September (1) 26:13 serve (1) 11:25 serve (3) 7:7;10:6;12:1 service (3) 7:7;10:6;12:1 services (3) 21:0;12:23;13:9 services (1) 33:20 services (3) 21:0;12:23;13:9 services (1) 32:2 Services (3) 21:0;12:23;13:9 services (1) 32:3 services (3) 21:0;12:23;13:9 services (1) 32:2 Services (3) 21:0;12:23;13:9 services (1) 32:3 services (1) 32:4 submitted (1) 44:13 spent (1) 44:13 spent (1) 44:13 spent (1) 41:13 spent (1) 46:5 souther (1) 40:7 stability (1) 15:17 starting (1) 40:7 startin

Case No. 12-12020-mg				March 23, 201
telling (4)	2:8,11;4:8,9,12,13,	unwarranted (1)	48:9	7:7
9:1;34:21;43:3;	18;8:10;9:5;10:6,20;	33:12	wish (2)	10332 (1)
46:16	11:25;12:22;13:20,	up (5)	43:14,22	22:16
erms (5)	20,24;14:4,9,24;	14:7,17;18:12;	withdraw (4)	10337 (1)
15:2;16:6;23:7;	15:1,1,4,11,13,17,22;	24:20;43:8	5:17;10:13,17;	22:21
46:15,17	16:1,4,17,19,24;	urge (1)	12:16	1039 (3)
testify (1)	17:1;18:24;19:3,4,	46:12	withdrew (1)	21:1,12,19
46:9	18;20:18,22;23:5,7,	use (2)	21:3	10th (1)
testimony (1)	23;24:7;26:20,24;	38:14;45:11	within (7)	30:1
45:2	27:8,10;30:10;33:3,	used (2)	30:8;36:4;38:2,17;	11 (3)
thanks (1)	6;36:19,20,24;38:25;	29:7,10	39:5;45:15,19	2:9;12:23;13:2
48:7	45:14;46:18;47:13	usually (2)	without (4)	11:25 (1)
theory (1)	Trustee (6)	45:13;46:23	12:16;38:9;43:12;	48:9
15:20	2:9;12:23;13:9;	13.13,10.23	48:2	12-12020 (1)
therefore (2)	18:14,14,18	\mathbf{V}	work (6)	4:4
33:5;34:7	Trusts (4)	V	5:14;8:1;10:11,17;	14th (1)
thirty (1)	2:13;13:20;16:18;	valid (1)	17:21;48:4	29:24
41:4	17:9	20:16	workout (1)	1524 (1)
thoroughly (1)	Trust's (5)	validate (1)	41:16	22:3
20:18				156 (1)
	2:2;19:20;27:12;	20:19	works (1)	
thought (1)	43:12;47:4	validation (2)	11:4	26:9
23:22	try (3)	40:24;41:3	written (1)	158 (1)
three (4)	15:24;39:10;45:16	validity (4)	48:1	26:9
13:5;21:18;36:13,	trying (1)	7:19;8:7;10:3;	wrong (1)	160,000 (3)
13	39:12	12:13	35:22	8:24;9:3,16
today (9)	turned (1)	valued (1)	wrongfully (1)	1788 (1)
4:23;13:13;15:5;	37:25	41:17	35:17	30:16
17:15;32:19;46:8,	turns (1)	various (4)	***	19th (1)
12;47:3,23	19:8	20:13;23:3;30:7,	Y	29:22
told (2)	twenty-five (1)	10		1st (4)
10:18;32:7	4:18	view (1)	year (6)	25:1,6;33:18,22
took (2)	twenty-four (2)	6:10	28:24;30:21;31:3;	
11:5;38:14	4:19,22	violated (1)	32:12;38:15,17	2
total (3)	two (12)	38:18	years (1)	
35:12;37:4;41:11	13:19;16:18;17:9;	violation (8)	35:19	2008 (12)
totaled (2)	20:22;31:14,15,20;	23:12;37:19,21;	York (1)	25:1,6,9,11;26:13,
41:24,25	35:18;45:5,8;46:19,	39:4,5,6,8,19	2:23	14;28:25;29:7;
totaling (2)	19	violations (2)		32:14;33:18;40:9,9
40:21;41:22	type (2)	38:14;40:21	1	2009 (6)
touch (1)	28:5;36:2	virtually (1)		28:23;29:20;
10:23	typical (1)	4:19	1,100 (2)	30:24;35:8;40:9,13
traditional (1)	10:20	,	41:23;42:1	2010 (2)
28:1	typing (1)	\mathbf{W}	1,500 (1)	29:21;30:25
transaction (3)	7:10	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	42:4	2012 (8)
36:12,14,16	7.110	walk (1)	1,700 (1)	29:22,23,24,24,25;
Transcribed (1)	U	22:21	42:16	36:13;40:12,15
2:20		way (1)	10 (1)	2013 (1)
transcript (1)	ultimately (1)	15:17	46:23	38:1
48:1	18:4	website (2)	10/5th (1)	2017 (6)
	Um-hum (2)	45:12,23	21:1	4:10;11:18,23;
		43.12,23		12:24;19:23;22:20
		recoles (4)		
8:6	25:14;39:20	weeks (4)	10:00 (1)	
8:6 treatment (1)	25:14;39:20 unable (1)	45:5,8;46:19,19	2:6	20th (1)
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2)	2:6 10001 (1)	20th (1) 22:20
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17)	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9)	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2)
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17) 14:17;15:8,10,11,	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9) 13:4,21;14:5;23:4,	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8 whatnot (1)	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23 1015 (5)	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2) 4:10;12:24
treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17) 14:17;15:8,10,11, 13,18,19;16:9;17:15;	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9) 13:4,21;14:5;23:4, 16,19;30:14,20;31:2	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8 whatnot (1) 43:1	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23 1015 (5) 21:1,3,12,18,19	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2) 4:10;12:24 22nd (1)
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17) 14:17;15:8,10,11, 13,18,19;16:9;17:15; 18:10;43:13;46:1,3,	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9) 13:4,21;14:5;23:4, 16,19;30:14,20;31:2 Unfortunately (2)	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8 whatnot (1) 43:1 what's (2)	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23 1015 (5) 21:1,3,12,18,19 10296 (1)	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2) 4:10;12:24 22nd (1) 29:21
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17) 14:17;15:8,10,11, 13,18,19;16:9;17:15; 18:10;43:13;46:1,3, 3,8,11;47:14	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9) 13:4,21;14:5;23:4, 16,19;30:14,20;31:2 Unfortunately (2) 6:7,22	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8 whatnot (1) 43:1 what's (2) 7:13;25:17	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23 1015 (5) 21:1,3,12,18,19 10296 (1) 19:23	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2) 4:10;12:24 22nd (1) 29:21 23rd (2)
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17) 14:17;15:8,10,11, 13,18,19;16:9;17:15; 18:10;43:13;46:1,3, 3,8,11;47:14 tried (4)	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9) 13:4,21;14:5;23:4, 16,19;30:14,20;31:2 Unfortunately (2) 6:7,22 Unless (5)	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8 whatnot (1) 43:1 what's (2) 7:13;25:17 whereas (1)	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23 1015 (5) 21:1,3,12,18,19 10296 (1) 19:23 10310 (1)	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2) 4:10;12:24 22nd (1) 29:21 23rd (2) 11:18;33:21
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17) 14:17;15:8,10,11, 13,18,19;16:9;17:15; 18:10;43:13;46:1,3, 3,8,11;47:14 tried (4) 6:24;7:5;10:11,16	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9) 13:4,21;14:5;23:4, 16,19;30:14,20;31:2 Unfortunately (2) 6:7,22 Unless (5) 11:21;15:19;18:9,	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8 whatnot (1) 43:1 what's (2) 7:13;25:17 whereas (1) 5:22	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23 1015 (5) 21:1,3,12,18,19 10296 (1) 19:23 10310 (1) 4:11	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2) 4:10;12:24 22nd (1) 29:21 23rd (2) 11:18;33:21 24th (1)
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17) 14:17;15:8,10,11, 13,18,19;16:9;17:15; 18:10;43:13;46:1,3, 3,8,11;47:14 tried (4) 6:24;7:5;10:11,16 true (1)	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9) 13:4,21;14:5;23:4, 16,19;30:14,20;31:2 Unfortunately (2) 6:7,22 Unless (5) 11:21;15:19;18:9, 9;31:11	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8 whatnot (1) 43:1 what's (2) 7:13;25:17 whereas (1) 5:22 whereby (1)	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23 1015 (5) 21:1,3,12,18,19 10296 (1) 19:23 10310 (1) 4:11 10311 (1)	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2) 4:10;12:24 22nd (1) 29:21 23rd (2) 11:18;33:21 24th (1) 26:13
8:6 treatment (1) 13:10 trial (17) 14:17;15:8,10,11, 13,18,19;16:9;17:15; 18:10;43:13;46:1,3, 3,8,11;47:14 tried (4) 6:24;7:5;10:11,16	25:14;39:20 unable (1) 41:2 Under (9) 13:4,21;14:5;23:4, 16,19;30:14,20;31:2 Unfortunately (2) 6:7,22 Unless (5) 11:21;15:19;18:9,	45:5,8;46:19,19 weren't (2) 7:5;19:8 whatnot (1) 43:1 what's (2) 7:13;25:17 whereas (1) 5:22	2:6 10001 (1) 2:23 1015 (5) 21:1,3,12,18,19 10296 (1) 19:23 10310 (1) 4:11	20th (1) 22:20 21st (2) 4:10;12:24 22nd (1) 29:21 23rd (2) 11:18;33:21 24th (1)

Case No. 12-12020-mg March 23, 2017 26th (1) 57.6(1) 29:20 14:5 29 (2) 5th (1) 29:24 23:11,13 2954 (6) 6 31:8,10;32:24,24; 35:19,25 2954a1C(2) 6th (2) 23:12;27:18 22:14;29:23 2nd (1) 7 19:23 3 7,695 (1) 41:22 3(1) 8 19:19 3,431 (1) 41:24 800(1) 3/23/17 (1) 41:24 2:5 9 30(1) 11:23 31,684 (1) 94 (1) 41:18 41:11 352 (1) 94,000 (2) 2:22 41:11,12 3848 (1) 973406-2250 (1) 22:10 2:24 4 4(1) 34:24 4,000 (1) 43:8 4,100(1) 42:2 4,500 (1) 41:25 4921 (1) 22:3 4930 (3) 21:7;22:17;43:12 4th (6) 26:12;28:21,24; 29:25;34:17,25 5 5(1) 11:22 5,000 (1) 42:17 5,473 (1) 41:19 5/11/2017 (1) 2:6 5271 (3) 4:23;11:17,24 56.1(1) 14:7 5634 (3) 21:2,12,19